

LA-UR-96-2205
August 1996



A Compendium of Cost Data for Environmental Remediation Technologies

Los Alamos
NATIONAL LABORATORY

*Los Alamos National Laboratory is operated by the University of California
for the United States Department of Energy under contract W-7405-ENG-36.*

Title: *A Compendium of Cost Data for
Environmental Remediation Technologies*

Author: *Shelley Brown DuTeaux*

Submitted to: *U.S. Department of Energy,
Office of Science and Technology (EM-50)*

August 1996

Los Alamos
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Los Alamos National Laboratory, an affirmative action/equal opportunity employer, is operated by the University of California for the U.S. Department of Energy under contract W-7405-ENG-36. By acceptance of this article, the publisher recognizes that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or to allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes. The Los Alamos National Laboratory requests that the publisher identify this article as work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy. Los Alamos National Laboratory strongly supports academic freedom and a researcher's right to publish; therefore, the Laboratory as an institution does not endorse the viewpoint of a publication or guarantee its technical correctness.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|---|----|
| I. Introduction..... | 1 |
| A. Background..... | 1 |
| B. Methodology..... | 1 |
| C. Environmental Technology Cost-Savings Analysis Project | 2 |
| D. Sponsoring Agency | 2 |
| E. Disclaimer..... | 2 |
| II. Overview..... | 3 |
| A. Treatment Technologies..... | 3 |
| B. Brief Technology and Term Descriptions..... | 4 |
| C. Contaminant Classifications..... | 7 |
| 1. Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)..... | 7 |
| 2. Semivolatile Organic Compounds (SVOCs)..... | 7 |
| 3. Inorganics..... | 8 |
| 4. Explosives..... | 8 |
| D. Acronyms and Abbreviations..... | 9 |
| E. Cost Terminology | 10 |
| F. Entry Example | 11 |
| III. Entries..... | 12 |
| A. Soil, Sediment, and Sludge..... | 12 |
| 1. Biological Treatments..... | 12 |
| 1.a. Biological Treatments: <i>In Situ</i> | 13 |
| Bioventing | 13 |
| In Situ Bioremediation..... | 16 |
| Solid-Phase Biological Treatment..... | 20 |
| 1.b. Biological Treatments: <i>Ex Situ</i> | 21 |
| Composting | 21 |
| Solid-Phase Biodegradation/Land Treatment | 22 |
| Slurry-Phase Treatment/Bioreactors | 30 |
| 1.c. Biological Treatment References..... | 33 |
| 2. Physical and Chemical Treatments | 36 |
| 2.a. Physical/Chemical Treatments: <i>In Situ</i> | 37 |
| Soil Vapor Extraction (SVE) | 37 |
| SVE in Conjunction with Other Treatment(s)..... | 41 |
| Solidification/Stabilization..... | 44 |
| 2.b. Physical/Chemical Treatments: <i>Ex Situ</i> | 45 |
| Soil Washing | 45 |
| Solidification/Stabilization..... | 49 |
| Vacuum Extraction..... | 50 |
| Physical Separation/Chemical Extraction..... | 51 |
| Oxidation/Reduction | 52 |
| 2.c. Physical/Chemical Soil Treatment References..... | 53 |

TABLE OF CONTENTS, continued

| | | |
|------|---|-----|
| 3. | Thermal Treatments | 55 |
| 3.a. | Thermal Treatments: <i>In Situ</i> | 56 |
| | In Situ Vitrification | 56 |
| | Thermally-enhanced SVE..... | 57 |
| 3.b. | Thermal Treatments: <i>Ex Situ</i> | 59 |
| | Thermal Desorption..... | 59 |
| | Incineration..... | 63 |
| | Vitrification..... | 67 |
| | Other Thermal Treatments | 69 |
| 3.c. | Thermal Treatment References | 70 |
| 4. | Other Treatments | 72 |
| 4.a. | Excavation | 73 |
| 4.b. | Other Treatment References | 75 |
| B. | Groundwater and Surface Water..... | 76 |
| 1. | Biological Treatments..... | 76 |
| 1.a. | Biological Treatments: <i>In Situ</i> | 77 |
| | In Situ Bioremediation | 77 |
| 1.b. | Biological Treatments: <i>Ex Situ</i> | 80 |
| | Slurry-Phase Biodegradation/Bioreactors | 80 |
| | Activated Sludge..... | 84 |
| 1.c. | Biological Treatment References | 85 |
| 2. | Physical and Chemical Treatments..... | 87 |
| 2.a. | Physical/Chemical Treatments: <i>In Situ</i> | 88 |
| | Groundwater Sparging/Stripping | 88 |
| | Barrier Technologies..... | 91 |
| 2.b. | Physical/Chemical Treatments: <i>Ex Situ</i> | 93 |
| | Oxidation..... | 93 |
| | Filtration/Separation..... | 94 |
| | Pump and Treat | 96 |
| | Pump and Treat in Conjunction with Other Remedy | 100 |
| 2.c. | Physical and Chemical Treatment References | 107 |

I. INTRODUCTION

This Compendium provides a representative sample of cost information for environmental remediation technologies used in the treatment of hazardous, radioactive, and mixed waste. Data were gathered from a variety of sources and summarized herein to provide actual cost summaries or engineering cost estimates, site characteristics, and comments detailing remedial projects.

A. Background

Federal, state, and commercial agencies are becoming increasingly involved in environmental restoration activities using both conventional and innovative technologies. In order to evaluate innovative technologies, a comparison of these new technologies to established, or "baseline," technologies is needed.

By using this collection of data, managers and decision makers can access a current compilation of different scenarios to compare costs and performance of remedial actions closely resembling the scale and characteristics of projects under consideration.

This Compendium includes synopses of site characteristics, contaminants, and remedial strategies. Detailed information can be obtained from the cited references. The reader is cautioned that all cost data included are site specific and site experiences are highly variable.

B. Methodology

A comprehensive search was conducted to gather material for this Compendium. Electronic and on-line databases such as the National Technical Information Service (NTIS) database, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) National Center for Environmental Publications and Information (NCEPI) Repository, and EPA's Vendor Information System for Innovative Treatment Technologies (VISITT) database were utilized.

Standard published forms of data were also included in the search. Reports cited include EPA Records of Decision (RODs), site characterizations, official remedial action reports, including Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Studies (RI/FS) from Superfund and Department of Energy sites, and progress reports from such agencies as the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, the Department of Defense, and national laboratories. Reports from the Federal Remediation Technologies Roundtable were included, as were articles from peer-reviewed scientific journals.

To be included as an entry, the data must have been from actual commercial or pilot-scale remedial actions, completed or in progress. As such, neither hypothetical cost scenarios nor cost comparisons were used as the basis for the numbers entered herein. In addition, every effort was made to provide a reasonable synopsis of clean-up activities. In some instances, however, important site information was not available, such as the starting concentration of contaminants, unit costs, or the total volume of material treated. In such cases, the reader is urged to refer to the cited reference.

There has been no attempt to standardize cost categories that were reported in original data. There are several standardized cost reporting/accounting methods available, including the February 1996 Hazardous, Toxic, Radioactive Waste Interagency Cost Engineering Group's *Remedial Action Work Breakdown Structure*. But because the cost information may not have been standardized when collected by the original author, and because the sources do not detail the methods by which costs were reported, all cost data have simply been included herein as were found in the original documentation.

As a special note to investigators, project managers, and contractors: For future data collection and remedial action reporting, it is most helpful when information detailing site activities is as complete as possible. Data should include the kind and total volume of contaminated media, starting concentrations of contaminants, and capital and operating costs. As a guideline for reporting site information, please refer to *Guide to Documenting Cost and Performance for Remediation Projects*, March 1995, EPA/542/B-95/002.

This Compendium contains information obtained from highly-regarded sources. A substantial effort has been made to publish reliable information, but the author nor the Sponsoring Agency can assume responsibility for the validity of all the data or for the consequences of their use.

C. Environmental Technology Cost-Savings Analysis Project

The *Compendium of Cost Data for Environmental Remediation Technologies* has been compiled under the Environmental Technology Cost-Savings Analysis Project (ETCAP) at Los Alamos National Laboratory. ETCAP analyzes potential cost savings that can accrue from successfully implementing innovative environmental technologies. Results of such studies can assist managers in ranking new technologies in terms of cost effectiveness, allocating scarce research and development funding, and recommending which new technologies should undergo implementation for environmental activities. For technical information, contact Steven R. Booth, ETCAP Project Leader, at (505) 667-9422.

D. Sponsoring Agency

This work was supported by the Office of Science and Technology (EM-50) of the U. S. Department of Energy under Technical Task Plan AL-16C501.

E. Disclaimer

Description, reference to, and inclusion of data in this Compendium does not constitute implied endorsement of technologies or vendors. Inclusion of technology descriptions, brand names, and/or trademarked instrumentation, as such, merely helps to detail cost and experience data of environmental activities. For additional information about any of these projects, technologies, or vendors, the reader is encouraged to refer to the cited reference index at the end of each section.

II. OVERVIEW

This overview presents general information regarding the remediation of hazardous, radioactive, and mixed waste. Included are a categorization and brief description of some common remedial technologies, a list of common contaminants, and a list of the abbreviations and acronyms found throughout this publication.

A. Treatment Technologies

Below are some common remedial technologies for soil, sediment, sludge, groundwater and surface water. Technologies are listed by their primary treatment mechanism, (i.e. biological, physical, chemical, or thermal). This is only a representative list. For a complete list, please refer to the Federal Remediation Technologies Roundtable's *Remediation Technologies Screening Matrix and Reference Guide*, EPA/542/B-94/013, from which this list was adapted.

SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

Biological Treatments Include:

- Bioremediation
- Bioventing
- Composting
- Slurry-Phase Bioremediation
- Solid-Phase Bioremediation
- Surface Biological Treatments

Physical/Chemical Treatments Include:

- Soil Vapor Extraction (SVE)
- Solidification/Stabilization
- Physical Separation/Chemical Extraction
- Soil Washing

Thermal Treatments Include:

- Thermally-Enhanced SVE
- Vitrification
- Thermal Desorption
- Incineration
- Other Treatments including Pyrolysis

Other Treatments Include:

- Excavation

GROUNDWATER & SURFACE WATER

Biological Treatments Include:

- Bioremediation
- Nitrate-Enhanced Bioremediation
- Oxygen-Enhanced Bioremediation
- Bioreactors

Physical/Chemical Treatments Include:

- Groundwater Stripping/Sparging
- Filtration/Separation
- Oxidation
- Pump and Treat with GAC, Thermal Oxidation, Air Stripping, etc.

B. Brief Technology and Term Descriptions

Air Sparging: Injecting air into the saturated zone beneath a contaminated area. As air is injected into the groundwater, gas bubbles form and carry trapped and vaporized contaminants to the unsaturated zone above. Usually used in conjunction with SVE to capture contaminated sparge vapors.

Air Stripping: To remove volatiles dissolved in ground or surface water. Stripping towers (e.g. packed towers) have a concurrent flow of gas and liquid. The evaporative air-waste stream may undergo further treatment in activated carbon, incineration, or other off-gas treatment system.

Biodegradation: Enzyme activity of indigenous soil microbes is stimulated by the addition of aqueous solutions. Further additions of nutrients, oxygen (for aerobic microbes), or other growth factors can expedite contaminant breakdown, and/or contaminant desorption from soil matrix. *In situ* bioremediation includes the promotion of bacterial populations without excavation. *Ex situ* bioremediation works on excavated soils. *Ex situ* systems for contaminated soil or water can also take advantage of aboveground bioreactors which provide an enhanced reaction surface area for enzyme activity.

Bioventing: *In situ* process of injecting air into contaminated soil at an optimal rate, increasing soil O₂ concentration and thereby stimulating the growth of indigenous aerobic bacteria. Low injection rates keep volatilization to a minimum. Effective on organic contaminants, although concomitant use of air extraction may be warranted to capture VOCs. Most effective in the unsaturated zone.

Catalytic Oxidation: A thermal treatment of off-gases where trace organics in the air stream are destroyed. The use of a catalyst (usually a reactive metal) helps to lower the reaction temperature, and thus the energy input.

Chemical Reduction/Oxidation (redox): The addition of ozone (O₃), hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), or chlorine compounds induces a redox reaction that chemically converts contaminants into less toxic compounds. This may reduce the mobility of contaminants throughout a plume.

Composting: Contaminated soil is excavated and placed in specialized containers. Cellulose, biomass, nutritional amendments, and sometimes additional indigenous microbes are added to promote breakdown. Specialized bacteria may be added if the aim is to breakdown a particular compound. With proper water content and occasional turning, the contaminants will biodegrade over time.

Dehalogenation: Halogenated volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in excavated soils are dehalogenated using one of two processes. Base-catalyzed dehalogenation involves mixing the soils with sodium hydroxide (NaOH) and a catalyst in a rotary kiln. In glycolate dehalogenation, an alkaline polyethylene glycol (APEG) reagent dehalogenates the VOCs in a batch reactor. The resulting compound from either reaction is non-hazardous or less toxic.

Excavation and Removal: Waste piles, soil, sludge, debris, and/or demolished site structures such as tanks, pipelines, and buildings, are excavated from the site and transported to a permitted waste disposal facility.

Filtration: Contaminated ground or surface water is passed through a porous medium to remove suspended solids. As the water flows through the filter, contaminant solids form a layer on the filter; backflow washing is used to periodically remove this layer. Vacuum filters

B. Brief Technology and Term Descriptions, continued

(Filtration, continued:)

and filter presses may be used for dewatering sludges. This process produces a filter cake which requires disposal.

Free Product Recovery: A method to remove a definable layer of liquid-phase organics (usually petroleum hydrocarbons) from subsurface water formations. Many times accomplished by pumping.

Groundwater Sparging: The combination of promoting (by air injection) natural aerobic biodegradation and removing volatilized contaminants by *in situ* air stripping. Usually for the *in situ* remediation of petroleum hydrocarbons.

Incineration: The combustion of excavated soils and sludges to thermally destroy contaminants. Used in conjunction with an air emissions control system. Often conducted off-site. Rotary kilns incinerate all types of solid and liquid waste and the non-combustible metals and residue are discharged as ash. Fluidized bed incinerators provide a large heat-transfer area for mixing the hazardous waste (usually sludge) and oxygen, producing an inert ash from sludge solids.

In Situ Soil Flushing: Flooding contaminated soil beneath the surface level with a solution designed to flush out the contaminants into a zone from which they will be extracted. Entails the drilling of injection and extraction wells and the addition of acidic or basic solutions, surfactants, or organic solvents to dissolve and remove contaminants. Most effective in soils with low silt and clay concentrations.

Landfarming: Once excavated, contaminated soils are spread over a clean area. The soil is aerated by regular turning or tilling. This speeds the degradation of contaminants.

Membrane Separation: A vapor/air separation involving the diffusion of VOCs through a non-porous gas separation membrane.

Pump and Treat: Refers to pumping contaminated groundwater from an aquifer, and treating the water to remove or destroy the contaminants through one or more processes.

Pyrolysis: Thermal treatment of excavated soils or sludges whereby chemical decomposition is induced in an anaerobic, heated environment. Organic contaminants are volatilized and the remaining solid residue or ash is disposed.

Reactive Barriers: *In situ* method of treating contaminated water by funneling it or enhancing natural flow through a vertically installed physical barrier. This barrier may contain reactive chemicals, metal catalysts (e.g. iron), bacteria, or activated carbon.

Solid-Phase Bioremediation: Excavated soils are placed in lined berms or other above-ground containers, where amendments, nutritional additives, and/or specialized bacteria are added. Solid-phase treatments include treatment beds, biotreatment cells, soil piles, and composting.

Slurry-Phase Bioremediation: An engineered process for treating contaminated soils or sludges that relies upon the mobilization of contaminants to the aqueous phase, where they are susceptible to microbial degradation. Suitable for creosote, petroleum hydrocarbons, and certain chlorinated compounds such as PCBs. The process can take place *ex situ* in bioreactors or *in situ* in lagoons and settling ponds.

B. Brief Technology and Term Descriptions, continued

Soil Vapor Extraction (SVE): To treat VOCs in the unsaturated zone. Vacuum pumping is used to create a zone of low vapor pressure, drawing air through underground wells and causing the *in situ* volatilization of hydrocarbons. SVE is most effective in highly permeable soils.

Soil Washing: An *ex situ* process that uses liquids and pH-controlled chemical additives to scrub excavated soils, thereby removing contaminants and concentrating them for further treatment. Because contaminants often bind to silt or clay, the excavated soils must be sifted and separated, thus reducing the volume of contaminated soil that needs treatment.

Solidification/Stabilization: Used to stabilize or lower the mobility of contaminants. Binding materials or cement react with water and the wastes to produce either a stabilized mass (solidification) or a less-solid material that binds liquids and reduces mobility (stabilization).

Thermal Desorption: Excavated soils and sludges are heated to approximately 800°F (high-temperature thermal desorption) or to approximately 400°F (low-temperature thermal desorption) in an effort to volatilize organic contaminants. An off-gas treatment system is attached to capture and treat vapor-phase contaminants.

Thermally-Enhanced Soil Vapor Extraction: Contaminated soil is warmed either by the injection of hot air or steam, or through the use of electricity or microwave frequencies, thereby volatilizing contaminants. Off-gases are captured in any number of treatment systems.

Ultraviolet (UV) Oxidation: Extracted groundwater is directed toward a treatment tank where UV radiation or ozone (O₃) and/or hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) is used to destroy organic contaminants. Off-gases are generally treated with ozonolysis.

Vacuum Extraction: A vacuum created inside a well forces resident groundwater to rise up, allowing additional groundwater to flow in. Once in the well, the air flow causes some of the trapped volatile contaminants to vaporize, thus enabling the capture of VOCs through vapor extraction.

Vapor-Phase Carbon Adsorption: Off-gases collected from a variety of *ex situ* or *in situ* methods are routed through canisters containing granular activated carbon (GAC). Contaminants adsorb onto the carbon, which must be occasionally replaced or recharged.

Vitrification: Mainly used for the remediation of hazardous or radioactive waste. It applies the principle of Joule heating to raise the temperature of soil between an array of electrodes to above the melting temperature. After cooling, a volcanic-like glass is left in the soil's place. For *in situ* application, the resulting non-viscous material has very low potential for leaching. *Ex situ* vitrification applies the same techniques to excavated wastes.

Volume Reduction: Any number of processes that concentrate the contaminated material by separating it from non-contaminated material prior to treatment. Many times organic compounds adsorb to fine soil and clay particles. After separating the large soil and rock fragments, a much smaller volume of contaminated material is left to treat.

C. Contaminant Classifications

Below are common organic and inorganic contaminants found at the sites listed in this Compendium. Compounds are listed by their common name and/or abbreviation. Elemental metals are denoted by their atomic symbols. For a complete listing, the reader should refer to *Remediation Technologies Screening Matrix and Reference Guide*, Second Edition, EPA/542/B-94/013, October 1994.

1. Volatile Organic Compounds

Halogenated VOCs

carbon tetrachloride (CCl₄)
tetrachloroethylene
perchloroethylene (PCE)
fluorotrichloromethane (Freon 11)
1,2-dichloroethane (DCA)
trichloroethylene (TCE)
1,2,2-trifluoroethane (Freon 113)
vinyl chloride
1,1,1-trichloroethane (TCA)

Nonhalogenated VOCs

acetone
acrolein
n-butyl alcohol
cyclohexane
ethyl ether
BTEX
isobutanol
methanol
methyl ethyl ketone (MEK)
TPH

2. Semivolatile Organic Compounds

Halogenated SVOCs

pentachlorophenol (PCP)
polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)
hexachlorobenzene
tetrachlorophenol
1,2-dichlorobenzene
dioxins
furans

Nonhalogenated SVOCs

phthalates
dibenzofuran
benzoic acid
phenyl naphthalene

PAHs

anthracene
benzo(a)pyrene
fluorine
naphthalene
pyrene

Pesticides

BHC
DDD
DDE
DDT
endrin
ethion
malathion
toxaphene

C. Contaminant Classifications, continued

3. Inorganics

Metals

Al Sb
As Be
Ca Cr
Cu Fe
Pb Hg
Ni Se
Ag Zn

Radioactive Elements

low level radioactive waste (LLRW)
and
transuranic waste (TRU) including:
Pu-238, -239
Ra-224, -226
Th-228, -230, -232
U-234, -235, -238

Others

asbestos
cyanide
fluorine
alumina

4. Explosives

TNT
RDX
HMX
TNB

DNB
nitroglycerin
nitrocellulose

D. Acronyms and Abbreviations

| Acronym | Full Name |
|-----------------|---|
| BTEX | benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylene |
| CERCLA | The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("Superfund") |
| cfm | cubic feet per minute |
| DCA | dichloroethane |
| DCE | dichloroethylene |
| DNAPL | dense non-aqueous phase liquid |
| DNB | 1,3-dinitrobenzene |
| DOD | U. S. Department of Defense |
| DOE | U. S. Department of Energy |
| DRE | destruction and removal efficiency (for thermal treatments) |
| EPA | U. S. Environmental Protection Agency |
| ft ² | square foot |
| gpm | gallons per minute |
| HMX | high melting explosive (C ₄ H ₈ N ₈ O ₈) |
| ISV | in situ vitrification |
| LLRW | low level radioactive waste |
| MEK | methyl ethyl ketone |
| NAPL | non-aqueous phase liquid |
| NPL | National Priorities List (under CERCLA) |
| O&M | operation and maintenance activities/costs |
| PAH(s) | polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon(s) |
| PCB(s) | polychlorinated biphenyl(s) |
| PCE | perchloroethylene, tetrachloroethylene |
| PCP | pentachlorophenol |
| ppb | parts per billion (also µg/kg soil; µg/L water) |
| ppm | parts per million (also mg/kg soil; mg/L water) |
| RCRA | Resource Conservation and Recovery Act |
| RDX | research department explosive (cyclonite) |
| redox | chemical reduction/oxidation reaction |
| RI/FS | Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study |
| ROD | Record of Decision |
| SITE | Superfund Innovative Technology Evaluation |
| SVE | soil vapor extraction |
| SVOC(s) | semivolatile organic compound(s) |
| TCA | 1,1,1-trichloroethane |
| TCE | trichloroethylene |
| TCLP | toxicity characteristic leaching procedure |
| THC | total hydrocarbons |
| TNT | 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene |
| TPH | total petroleum hydrocarbons |
| TRU | transuranic waste |
| TSS | total suspended solids |
| USACE | U. S. Army Corps of Engineers |
| UST | underground storage tank |
| UV | ultraviolet radiation |
| VOC(s) | volatile organic compound(s) |
| XRF | x-ray fluorescence |
| yd ³ | cubic yard |

E. Cost Terminology*

In general, costs are site-specific and based on parameters such as the type of remediation technology selected, the size of the affected area, the characteristics of the contaminants, the required clean-up standards, the level of health and safety protection during the remediation, the type and number of chemical analyses, and any long-term, post-remedial actions required.

Costs can generally be broken down into *capital costs* and *operating costs*.

Capital Costs:

- usually (but not always) constitute one-time costs that occur at the beginning of a project
- installed equipment such as off-gas treatment equipment, tanks, pumps, blowers, above-ground drainage, containment structures, air or water monitoring equipment
- constructed buildings and structures such as on-site labs, health and safety offices, and monitoring facilities
- costs involved with design, engineering, start-up, site preparation, well drilling, and mobilization/demobilization
- total capital costs are the sum of the equipment and installation costs

Operating Costs:

- associated with actually doing the work necessary to obtain the required remediation levels, and are recurring
- sometimes referred to as “Annual Operating Costs” or “O & M”
- these costs include labor, utilities, sampling and analysis, consumables, equipment repair and maintenance, disposal and transportation, project management, quality assurance measures, insurance, and leases

Total costs for a full-scale remediation are found by adding the capital, operating, and any contingency costs associated with unforeseen difficulties. Cost per gallon, cubic yard, or ton can be calculated by dividing the total cost of a full-scale remediation by the volume of material treated or the volume of contaminant removed. Generally, as the volume of material increases, the cost of the remediation decreases due to economy of scale.

Please Note: When a unit cost was not available in the original source, although a total treatment cost and a total volume of contaminated media were given, the author proceeded to calculate the unit cost. This number, if not from the original data, is given in italics in the “Cost” category. Please see example on following page.

* Adapted from Henrikson, Anne D. and Steven R. Booth, “A Practical Guide to Evaluating the Cost Effectiveness of New Environmental Technologies,” Los Alamos National Laboratory report LA-UR-93-4485 (1993).

F. Entry Example

| Treatment Technology | Cost Elements | Cost | Site Characteristics/Comments | Ref. |
|----------------------|--|-------------------------|---|------------|
| Pump and treat | Total capital costs (site prep, system installation, start-up, demolition, excavation, mobilization) | \$569,739 | Langley Air Force Base, Aviation R&D Facility, Virginia | 15, (1995) |
| | Annual operating costs (equipment, labor, materials,) | \$216,561 (\$0.10/gal.) | Media: 2,000,000 gal. H ₂ O Contaminants: BTEX; TPH >100 ppm in soil, max. 4100 ppb in groundwater; free product Details: UST site with 24 25,000 gallon tanks; Full-scale remediation of fuel oil-contaminated groundwater using vacuum assisted well-point extraction and aboveground air stripping; Pump and treat with vacuum-assisted well point extraction system, oil/H ₂ O separators, air strippers; Avg. 32 gpm flow rate; 2 air stripper columns | ê |

- 1. Treatment Technology:** Refers to the technology chosen to remediate a site. Often times projects will list two technologies selected for a site. As appropriate, such entries will be listed under all applicable technology categories.
- 2, 3. Cost Elements and Cost:** Describes and lists the costs as reported in the original data source. In some cases, a single “*Total treatment cost*” is reported, indicating that the actual cost of remediating the site, regardless of the number of technologies used or the volume or type of contaminants, is all inclusive. “*Total cost/unit*” refers to the total cost of remediating the site divided by the total volume of material treated (e.g., cubic yards of soil) or the total contaminant volume removed (e.g., pounds of VOCs incinerated). This number will be in italics if calculated by the author rather than found in the original report.

When available, specific capital and operating costs have been listed. In addition, costs based on throughput of the system or volume treated (e.g., gallons per minute), if affecting overall cost, are also listed. In many cases, additional specifics about cost can be found in “Site Characteristics/Comments.”

- 4. Site Characteristics/Comments:** Lists site name and location, type and volume of medium if known, and the contaminants found at the site. In some instances only a general description of media and contaminants was available. “Details” refers to remedial action details, and may list the achieved contaminant level, plume characteristics, details of the treatment system, and specifics of how cost data were derived.
- 5. References:** Each entry is referenced. The numbers, with the year of publication, correspond to the cited reference list found at the back of each section.

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

1. BIOLOGICAL TREATMENTS

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

1.a. BIOLOGICAL TREATMENTS: *In Situ*

| BIOVENTING | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|---|--|-----------|
| Treatment Technology | Cost Elements | Cost | Site Characteristics/Comments | Reference |
| Bioventing | Total capital cost | \$150,000 | US DOE Savannah River Site, Aiken, South Carolina <u>Media:</u> soil and groundwater <u>Contaminants:</u> primarily TCE, PCE; soil contamination was 10 ppm; groundwater contamination was 1 ppm <u>Details:</u> Contamination from solvents used to degrease nuclear fuel target elements; Remediated to < 2 ppb; Used 200 man hours/wk. | 1, (1994) |
| Bioventing | Total cost/unit | \$10 to \$15/yd ³ | Hill Air Force Base, Utah <u>Media:</u> soil contaminated to a depth of 60 ft. <u>Contaminants:</u> TPH at 20,000 ppm <u>Details:</u> Spill contained 25,000 gallons JP-4 jet fuel; 98% reduction in contaminants; Min. and max. bioventing costs reported | 2, (1994) |
| Thermally-enhanced bioventing | Capital cost (floating fuel collection devices, bioventing equipment, composting, site mobilization/demobilization, groundwater remediation) Annual O&M (floating fuel treatment for 5 yrs., bioventing for 10 yrs., groundwater monitoring for 30 yrs.) Total cost/unit | \$758,077 \$177,160/yr. (1994) \$10 to 15/yd ³ | Refueling Loop E-7, Source Area ST20, Eielson Air Force Base, Fairbanks, Alaska <u>Media:</u> soil (contamination to 6.1 m in saturated zone) <u>Contaminants:</u> TPH, BTEX; soil contained avg. 1500 mg/kg TPH <u>Details:</u> Pilot scale ; JP-4 jet fuel spill; Contamination was concentrated below 5.25 ft.; Bioventing with 3 soil-warming techniques: Active warming, passive warming, and surface warming; Clean-up level is 200 mg/kg TPH; Min. and max. bioventing costs reported | 3, (1995) |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

1.a. BIOLOGICAL TREATMENTS: *In Situ*

BIOVENTING, continued

| | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|--|--|-----------|
| Bioventing | <p>Total capital cost (equipment, site work, engineering, project mang.)</p> <p>Annual operating cost (electricity, lab, maintenance)</p> | <p>\$28,650</p> <p>\$32,875/yr. (1994)</p> | <p>UST Site, Lowry Air Force Base, Denver, Colorado</p> <p><u>Media:</u> soil; no quantity estimate at time reference was published</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> TPH at 15 to 14,000 mg/kg; BTEX</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Bioventing with 6 piping manifolds placed at right angles in excavation area (35 to 40 ft. deep); Aerated to maintain O₂ conc. >14%; Clean-up levels are < 500 mg/kg TPH; Key operating and cost parameters were soil moisture, O₂ and CO₂ concentrations</p> | 4, (1995) |
| Bioventing with SVE | <p>Capital cost (construction and start-up)</p> <p>Operation cost (electricity, fuel, labor, lab, equipment leases for 2 yr. operation)</p> <p>Total treatment cost</p> | <p>\$335,000</p> <p>\$132,000/yr. (1990)</p> <p>\$599,000 (\$120/yr³)</p> | <p>JP-4 Fuel Spill Site at Site 914, Hill Air Force Base, Ogden, Utah</p> <p><u>Media:</u> 5000 yd³ soil, 13,500 ft² area</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> 27,000 gal. JP-4 jet fuel; TPH from 20 to 10,000 ppm, avg. 400 ppm</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Two-phase clean-up: Phase 1 - SVE with 7 vent wells (50 ft.); 31 monitoring wells (6 to 55 ft.); 3 neutron access probes to monitor soil moisture; Catalytic incinerator for extracted vapor; Phase 2 - bioventing to reduce soil TPH levels to clean-up goals; Bioventing for 15 mos.; 4 vent wells and the monitoring wells from SVE</p> | 5, (1995) |
| Low intensity bioventing | <p>Total capital (construction, buildings, start-up - estimate)</p> <p>Total operating cost (labor, utilities, lab, maintenance, monitoring; estimated over 4 yrs.)</p> | <p>\$115,000</p> <p>\$24,000/yr. (1994)</p> | <p>Hill Air Force Base, Site 280, Ogden, Utah</p> <p><u>Media:</u> soil</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> TPH and BTEX; soil TPH as high as 5040 ppm in soil</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Bioventing system included one injection well at 100 ft. and 10 monitoring wells at varying depths; Low intensity bioventing system in place since Dec. 1990</p> | 6, (1995) |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

1.a. BIOLOGICAL TREATMENTS: *In Situ*

BIOVENTING, continued

| | | | | |
|-------------------|-----------------|--|---|-----------|
| Bioventing | Total cost/unit | \$15 to \$20/m ³ (\$12 to \$15/yd ³) | <p>Tyndall Air Force Base, Florida</p> <p><u>Media:</u> soil in vadose zone</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> TPH >1000 mg/kg soil</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Pilot scale field test; Volatile hydrocarbons in vadose zone; Remediated to < 30 mg/kg; Min. and max. costs of bioventing listed in case study</p> | 7, (1994) |
|-------------------|-----------------|--|---|-----------|

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

1.a. BIOLOGICAL TREATMENTS: *In Situ*

| IN SITU BIOREMEDIATION | | | | |
|------------------------|---|----------------------------------|---|------------|
| Treatment Technology | Cost Elements | Cost | Site Characteristics/Comments | Reference |
| In situ bioremediation | Total treatment cost (including subcontractor) | \$50,000 (\$13/yd ³) | Biota Division of CET Environmental Services, California <u>Media:</u> 4000 yd ³ soil <u>Contaminants:</u> TPH from 1200 ppm to 45,000 ppm; highest concentration at 20 ft. below surface <u>Details:</u> Remediation of an industrial bottling plant and truck garage containing USTs and fuel pumps; Action included initial biotreatability investigation, bench-scale assessment, and on-site field implementation | 8, (1994) |
| In situ bioremediation | Total cost/unit (including comprehensive biotreatability investigation, pilot-scale test, and full-scale treatment) | \$145/yd ³ | Biota Division of CET Environmental Services, Arizona <u>Media:</u> 1600 yd ³ soil over a one acre area <u>Contaminants:</u> up to 38,000 ppm butyl benzyl phthalate <u>Details:</u> Train derailment site; Reduced phthalate to an average level of >90 ppm | 9, (1994) |
| In situ bioremediation | Total treatment cost | \$274,000 (1990) | New York State Department of Conservation UST Site <u>Media:</u> soil, groundwater <u>Contaminants:</u> 10 ppm BTEX in groundwater <u>Details:</u> Leaking UST; 6 monitoring wells installed to track movement of plume; Infiltration gallery constructed at former UST location to flush hydrocarbons out of aquifer; Nutrients and H ₂ O ₂ added to promote in situ biodegradation; Remediated to below detect | 10, (1994) |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

1.a. BIOLOGICAL TREATMENTS: *In Situ*

IN SITU BIOREMEDIATION, continued

| | | | | |
|--|--|----------------------|--|------------|
| In situ bioremediation | Treatment cost/unit (includes capital and pre-treatment; does not include site prep, excavation, waste handling, residual disposal, or permitting) | \$31.25/1000 gal. | <p>West Wago, Louisiana</p> <p><u>Media:</u> 4 million gallons sludge and process water</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> 20,000 ppm oil and grease</p> <p><u>Details:</u> One acre lagoon with 75% surface area covered with waxy sludge; Biodegradation in two phases: one for sludge with surface aerators, and the second for emulsified oil in process water; Remediated to >15 ppm oil and grease</p> | 11, (1994) |
| In situ bioremediation and off-site landfarming | Total treatment cost | \$250,000 (1989) | <p>Chevron Site, Plaquemine Parish, Louisiana</p> <p><u>Media:</u> two waste pits containing a total of 2800 yd³ of oily solids/sludge; 2050 barrels of floating hydrocarbons</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> oil and grease, paraffin, nonsoluble organics</p> <p><u>Details:</u> 1200 barrels of soluble oil recovered by skimming, chemical coagulation, and oil separation; 850 barrels of waste oil were landfarmed at a separate facility; Liquid/solid contact bioremediation; Volume reduction on remaining contaminants with commercial cultures and concurrent stimulation of indigenous microbes; Free liquid discharged; Remaining material was stabilized and pit was backfilled</p> | 12, (1994) |
| In situ bioremediation | Total treatment cost (including installation, equipment, analytical, operations, and labor) | \$110,000 (\$1/gal.) | <p>EPA Region 1 Demonstration Site, Massachusetts</p> <p><u>Media:</u> 135,000 gallons groundwater</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> 2 ppm each TCE and DCE</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Pilot scale; Amended groundwater with O₂, CH₄, and mineral nutrients, recirculating water through contaminated area; Demonstration ran for 15 months with 25% reduction in both TCE and DCE</p> | 13, (1994) |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

1.a. BIOLOGICAL TREATMENTS: *In Situ*

IN SITU BIOREMEDIATION, continued

| | | | | |
|--|--------------------|----------------------|--|------------|
| In situ bioremediation | Total cost/unit | \$25/yd ³ | <p>Former Petroleum Products Storage and Distribution Facility, New York</p> <p><u>Media:</u> 27,000 yd³ soil</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> max. conc.: 13,072 ppm methanol, 1830 ppm N,N-dimethylaniline, 883 ppm acetone, 827 ppm methylene chloride, 140 ppm TCE, 218 ppm xylenes, 11 ppm benzene</p> <p><u>Details:</u> 90-day bench scale treatability study followed by pilot study in 8000 ft² area; Full-scale treatment used tilling for top 18 in. and deep auger mixing down to 6 ft.; Nitrate and phosphate amendments at deeper depths; Soil gas and ambient air monitoring; Soil mixing took place 5 days/wk., soil moisture maintained between 50% and 75%; 8 mo. remediation</p> | 14, (1996) |
| In situ bioremediation plus bioreactor treatment of groundwater | Total project cost | \$91,700 | <p>Naval Air Warfare Center, Lakehurst, New Jersey</p> <p><u>Media:</u> soil below lagoon; groundwater in 8100 ft² contaminant plume</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> ethylene glycol: max. conc. in soil 4900 ppm, max. conc. in groundwater 2100 ppm</p> <p><u>Details:</u> First phase used injection system for in situ biodegradation by adjusting pH and providing O₂ and nitrogen/phosphate amendments; 5 recovery wells pumped contaminated groundwater into bioreactor; Reinjection into vadose zone after treatment; Avg. flow rate in closed loop of 20 gpm; Lagoon injection system flushed contaminated soil and forced contaminated water to 1 of 3 recovery wells in lagoon; 435 day treatment lowered contaminants to non-detect levels</p> | 15, (1994) |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

1.a. BIOLOGICAL TREATMENTS: *IN SITU*

IN SITU BIOREMEDIATION, continued

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|------------|
| In situ bioremediation, incineration, and pump and treat | <p>Bioremediation, excavation, and incineration: Capital costs O&M Present worth</p> <p>Pump and treat: Capital costs O&M Present worth</p> | <p>\$29 million \$500,000/yr. \$40 million (1993)</p> <p>\$2 million \$250,000/yr. \$6 million (1993)</p> | <p>American Creosote Works Inc. Site, Winnfield, Louisiana</p> <p><u>Media:</u> 25,000 yd³ sludge, 250,000 yd³ soil, shallow groundwater</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> PAHs, PCP</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Excavation and incineration of 25,000 yd³ highly contaminated sludge and tars; Decontaminated ash used on-site as fill; Pump and separate NAPLs from sub-surface zones of pooled product to promote biodegradation of PCP and PAHs; Incineration of NAPLs and reinjection of water to promote flushing of contaminants into 250,000 yd³ in situ biotreatment zone; O₂ and nutrients added; 30 yr. remediation</p> | 16, (1993) |
| In situ bioremediation and pump and treat | <p>Capital: Soil treatment Groundwater treatment Site overhead</p> <p>O&M</p> <p>Replacement costs</p> <p>Present worth</p> | <p>\$1,475,000 \$971,000 \$1,764,000</p> <p>\$0</p> <p>\$0</p> <p>\$4,210,000 (1988)</p> | <p>North Cavalcade Street Site, North Cavalcade, Texas</p> <p><u>Media:</u> 22,300 yd³ soil, 5.6 mil. gal. groundwater</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> max. H₂O conc.: 79 µg/L benzene, 620 µg/L toluene, 280 µg/L xylenes, 39,000 µg/L naphthalene; max. soil conc.: 14,394 ppm total PAHs, 9187 ppm naphthalene</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Clean-up levels are 1 ppm for carcinogenic PAHs in soil and 5 µg/L in groundwater; In situ bioremediation of soils with O₂ and nutrients, 3 yr. duration; On-site pump and treat with oil/H₂O separation and carbon filtration to be completed in 2 yrs.; This remedy has no long-term O&M costs beyond 5 yrs. according to ROD and no replacement costs because of no long-term operation</p> | 17, (1988) |
| In situ bioremediation | Total treatment cost (including analytical program and biological site assessment) | \$118/yd ³ (1990) | <p>Ashland Petroleum, Pennsylvania</p> <p><u>Media:</u> 15,000 yd³ soil</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> 12,000 ppm diesel</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Remediated to 450 ppm avg. across site</p> | 18, (1994) |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

1.a. BIOLOGICAL TREATMENTS: *In Situ*

SOLID-PHASE BIOLOGICAL TREATMENT

| Treatment Technology | Cost Elements | Cost | Site Characteristics/Comments | Reference |
|---|----------------------|---------------------------------------|---|------------|
| Enhanced in situ solid-phase biological treatment | Total treatment cost | \$300,000 (\$200/yd ³) | <p>CONRAIL Train Derailment Site, Mentor, Ohio</p> <p><u>Media:</u> 1500 yd³ (1100m³) soil; 200 ft² swampy area to a depth of 1 ft.</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> 12,000 gallons vinyl acetate; 22,000 mg/kg acetate; 3000 mg/kg acetaldehyde</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Recovered 6000 gal. product; Incomplete oxidation products ethanol and acetate treated to < 2.5 mg/kg and 12 to 15 mg/kg, respectively</p> | 19, (1996) |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

1.b. BIOLOGICAL TREATMENTS: *Ex Situ*

COMPOSTING

| Treatment Technology | Cost Elements | Cost | Site Characteristics/Comments | Reference |
|---------------------------|--|--|---|------------|
| Windrow composting | <p>Capital cost (equipment, buildings, structures, mechanical/piping, electrical)</p> <p>Operating cost (power, amendments, fuel, labor, maintenance)</p> <p>Total cost/unit</p> | <p>\$1,840,000</p> <p>\$527,000/yr. (1992)</p> <p>\$450/yd³</p> | <p>Explosives Contaminated Site, Umatilla Army Depot Activity, Hermiston, Oregon</p> <p><u>Media:</u> approx. 20,000 tons soil below 2 settling lagoons to 5 ft. depth</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> TNT up to 1600 ppm, RDX up to 1000 ppm, HMX up to 200 ppm</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Costs do not reflect treatment of 244 yd³ soil in previous 40 day field demo; Operating costs assume 20,000 tons and a 5 yr. remedial duration</p> | 20, (1995) |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

1.b. BIOLOGICAL TREATMENTS: *Ex Situ*

SOLID-PHASE BIODEGRADATION/ LAND TREATMENT

| Treatment Technology | Cost Elements | Cost | Site Characteristics/Comments | Reference |
|--|-------------------------------------|---|--|------------|
| Solid-phase biodegradation | Total treatment cost | \$500,000 (1990) (\$30/yd ³) | Bay Area Refinery, Rodeo, California <u>Media:</u> 16,000 yd ³ soil <u>Contaminants:</u> diesel, waste oil <u>Details:</u> Major obstacles were clayey soils and unexpected long-chained hydrocarbons; Remediated to 100 mg/kg diesel and 100 mg/kg waste oil | 21, (1994) |
| Solid-phase biodegradation | Total treatment cost (ROD estimate) | \$2,275,000 (\$100/yd ³) | American Creosote Works Site, Pensacola, Florida <u>Media:</u> 23,000 yd ³ soil <u>Contaminants:</u> dioxins, carcinogenic PAHs, PCPs <u>Details:</u> 18 acre site; Surface impoundment ponds with oily, asphaltic, creosote material; Ponds were dewatered and water treated and discharged into city sewer system; Sludge in ponds was solidified and capped; Excavation and treatment of 23,000 yd ³ PAH-contaminated soil using solid-phase biodegradation; Disposal of treated soil on-site in excavated area with backfilling | 22, (1995) |
| Controlled solid-phase biodegradation | Total cost/unit | \$36/m ³ (\$27/yd ³) | Marine Corps Air Ground Combat Center, Twenty-Nine Palms, California <u>Media:</u> soils <u>Contaminants:</u> TPH, avg. 702 ppm <u>Details:</u> Heap pile research project ; Contamination from UST and fuel spills; Remediated to 234 ppm avg. | 23, (1994) |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

1.b. BIOLOGICAL TREATMENTS: *Ex Situ*

SOLID-PHASE BIODEGRADATION/LAND TREATMENT, continued

| | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|---|--|------------|
| Controlled solid-phase biodegradation | Total cost/unit | \$88/metric ton (\$80/ton) | <p>Marine Corps Mountain Warfare Training Center, Bridgeport, California</p> <p><u>Media:</u> soils</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> 1200 ppm TPH</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Pilot study at UST site; Aerated soil pile on lined bed; Remediated to 120 ppm after 2 mos.</p> | 24, (1994) |
| Solid-phase biodegradation | Total cost/unit | \$20/yd ³ (\$26/m ³) | <p>Sand and Gravel Mining Location, Ventura County, California</p> <p><u>Media:</u> 58,200 yd³ soil</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> BTEX, gasoline; TPHC ranged from 74 to 41,000 mg/kg</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Soil tilled to 18 in. depth; Tilled once every 2 weeks and after amendments (ammonium sulfate and diammonium phosphate) were added; Soil moisture content was between 60 and 80%; Treatment area divided into 32 plots, approx. 1 acre each; Excavation took 44 days; BTEX and gasoline concentrations were below detect after treatment (1 and 5 mg/kg, respectively)</p> | 25, (1994) |
| Solid-phase biodegradation | Total treatment cost (expected) | \$8.3 million (\$200/yd ³) | <p>Ordnance Works Disposal Area Superfund Site, Operable Unit 1, West Virginia</p> <p><u>Media:</u> 42,000 yd³ soil</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> carcinogenic PAHs</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Solid-phase biodegradation of soil contaminated with PAHs; Ex situ solidification used for any inorganics found in soil after biological treatment; Target level is 44.7 ppm carcinogenic PAHs</p> | 26, (1991) |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

1.b. BIOLOGICAL TREATMENTS: *Ex Situ*

SOLID-PHASE BIODEGRADATION/LAND TREATMENT, continued

| Treatment Technology | Cost Elements | Cost | Site Characteristics/Comments | Reference |
|--|---------------------------------|---|--|------------|
| Solid-phase biodegradation plus in situ bioremediation of groundwater | Total treatment cost | \$11 million | <p>Burlington Northern Railroad Superfund Site, Somers, Montana</p> <p><u>Media:</u> groundwater; 12,000 yd³ excavated soil; 70,000 yd³ soil in situ</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> PAHs, zinc, and phenol in soil, PAHs in groundwater</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Operational early 1993 with 5 to 10 yr. completion time; 12,000 yd³ excavated soil undergoing solid-phase biodegradation; Groundwater being treated with in situ bioremediation; Soil concentration target is 36 µg/kg PAHs and groundwater target is 0.030 µg/L PAHs; Soil also treated with in situ soil flushing</p> | 27, (1991) |
| Solid-phase biodegradation | Total treatment cost (expected) | \$23.5 million (\$200/yd ³) | <p>Amoco Refinery, Sugar Creek, Missouri</p> <p><u>Media:</u> 137,000 yd³ soil and sludge</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> oil, PAHs, refinery sludges, metals; soils and sludge contain 27% to 40% oil and grease</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Full-scale remediation began July 1990 with expected completion in 1999; Sludges contain high concentrations of K049, K050, and K051 oil; Soils and sludge undergoing solid-phase bioremediation in sequencing batch reactors; Target clean-up levels are total PAHs < 300 mg/kg and carcinogenic PAHs <1 60 mg/kg</p> | 28, (1991) |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

1.b. BIOLOGICAL TREATMENTS: *Ex Situ*

SOLID-PHASE BIODEGRADATION/LAND TREATMENT, continued

| | | | | |
|-----------------------|--|---|--|------------|
| Land treatment | <p>Total cost (solids prep, handling, mobilization, short-term and long-term O&M)</p> <p>Pre-treatment costs (site work, containment)</p> <p>After-treatment costs</p> | <p>\$600,000</p> <p>\$58,000</p> <p>\$9800</p> | <p>Brown Wood Preserving Site, Live Oak, Florida</p> <p><u>Media:</u> 12,000 yd³ soil</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> creosote (total PAHs 100 to 209 mg/kg)</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Land treatment system included installation of clay liner, berm, subsurface drainage system, and retention pond; Soil treatment using three lifts, the first inoculated with PAH-degrading bacteria</p> | 29, (1995) |
| Land treatment | <p>Capital cost (site work, permitting, construction, mobilization/ demob., project mang., and pilot test [\$76,000 of total capital costs])</p> <p>Operating cost (lab, maintenance, and monitoring - estimate)</p> | <p>\$104,257</p> <p>\$18,460/yr.</p> | <p>UST Site, Lowry Air Force Base, Denver, Colorado</p> <p><u>Media:</u> 5400 yd³ soil plus three truckloads</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> TPH and BTEX from leaking heating oil tank; estimated 10,500 gallons fuel oil released; BTEX at 100 mg/kg; Total recoverable petroleum hydrocarbons (TRPH) up to 11,000 ppm, avg. 3100 ppm</p> <p><u>Details:</u> USTs removed and contaminated soil was excavated; Land treatment of excavated soils consisted of adding ammonium nitrate and tilling twice a month; Soil moisture content was kept at 10 to 15% by weight; Target clean-up level is <500 ppm for TPH and TRPH</p> | 30, (1995) |
| Land treatment | <p>Total Removal Action</p> <p>Land treatment contractor</p> <p>Lab analysis, EPA contractors, and EPA oversight</p> | <p>\$4,047,000 (1991)</p> <p>\$1,292,000</p> <p>\$254,000</p> | <p>Scott Lumber Company Superfund Site, Alton, Missouri</p> <p><u>Media:</u> 15,961 tons soil; sludge, surface debris, and lagoon wastes</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> creosote/diesel fuel mixture; PAHs as high as 63,000 mg/kg in soil, 0.326 mg/kg in lagoon, and 12,400 mg/kg in sludge</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Three operable units: Decontamination and removal of surface debris and sludge; Excavation of contaminated soil; On-site land treatment; Site demobilization in Sept. 1991</p> | 31, (1995) |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

1.b. BIOLOGICAL TREATMENTS: *Ex Situ*

SOLID-PHASE BIODEGRADATION/LAND TREATMENT, continued

| | | | | |
|---|--|------------------------------|--|------------|
| Land treatment and oxygen-enhanced water treatment | Total remediation cost (including pilot and full-scale operations) | \$8 to \$10 million | <p>Libby Groundwater Superfund Site, Libby, Montana</p> <p><u>Media:</u> screened soil and rock totaling 75,800 yd³; groundwater plume extending approx. 1 mile</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> total concentration of creosote and PCP >5000 mg/kg</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Used 4 existing monitoring wells; H₂O₂ injection system with new monitoring and extraction wells drilled; Groundwater recovery with 2 fixed-film bioreactors; 2 land treatment units for contaminated soil, each 1 acre in size with a capacity of 25,000 yd³; 6 yr. operational period for soil and < 10 yrs. for aquifer treatment to obtain target concentrations of >100 mg/kg</p> | 32, (1994) |
| Land treatment | Total cost/unit | \$351/yd ³ (1991) | <p>Navy Demonstration, Camp Pendelton, California</p> <p><u>Media:</u> soil</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> TPH at 29,000 ppm</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Field demonstration; Excavated soil was tilled at surface after weekly nutrient/enzyme additions; 50 yd³/mo. capacity; No residual waste produced; TPH remediated to 88 ppm; No future O&M costs associated with remedy</p> | 33, (1994) |
| Land treatment plus fixed film bioreactor treatment of water | Total treatment cost (expected) | \$3.5 million | <p>JH Baxter Superfund Site, Weed, California</p> <p><u>Media:</u> groundwater; 21,875 yd³ soil</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> As, Cr, Zn, PCP, PAHs, dioxins/furans</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Organic-contaminated soil (12,500 yd³) treated in prepared-bed land treatment unit; Soil with mixed organic and heavy metal contamination needs further treatment; Groundwater pumped and treated in fixed film bioreactor; Start-up March 1993</p> | 34, (1991) |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

1.b. BIOLOGICAL TREATMENTS: *Ex Situ*

SOLID-PHASE BIODEGRADATION/LAND TREATMENT, continued

| | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|------------|
| Land treatment | <p>Capital costs</p> <p>O&M</p> <p>Present worth (ROD estimate)</p> | <p>\$2.2 million</p> <p>\$195,000/yr.</p> <p>\$2.47 million (1991)</p> | <p>South Andover Salvage Yards Superfund Site, Operable Unit 3, Andover, Minnesota</p> <p><u>Media:</u> 11,400 yd³ soil; 20 waste drums</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> max. PAHs 30.3 ppm; max. Pb 1980 ppm; max. PCB 15.17 ppm; max. Sb 75.9 ppm</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Characteristic hot spot contamination confined to upper 6 ft. of surface soils; Excavation of 2100 yd³ PAH-contaminated soil for surface biological treatment; Excavation of 9300 yd³ mixed organic- and metal-contaminated soil for off-site disposal; Sampling and removal of 20 waste drums; Backfilled site with treated soil and clean fill; Semi-annual monitoring and run-off control measures; 2 yr. remedial period</p> | 35, (1991) |
| Land treatment of soil plus pump and treat with incineration | <p>Biological treatment:</p> <p>Capital costs</p> <p>O&M</p> <p>Present worth</p> <p>Pump and treat:</p> <p>Capital costs</p> <p>O&M</p> <p>Present worth</p> | <p>\$11.5 million</p> <p>\$25,000/yr.</p> <p>\$11.9 million (1992)</p> <p>\$1.2 million</p> <p>\$153,000/yr.</p> <p>\$5.3 million (1992)</p> | <p>Popile Inc. Site, El Dorado, Arkansas</p> <p><u>Media:</u> 165,000 yd³ soil and sludge; 84 mil. gal. groundwater; 750,000 gal. pooled creosote</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> up to 32,700 ppb benzo(a)pyrene and up to 280,000 ppb PCP in soil; up to 698 ppb benzo(a)pyrene equivalent and 460,000 ppb PCP in groundwater</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Excavation and treatment of 165,000 yd³ soil and sludge in on-site biological land treatment unit with 15 to 20 yr. treatment time; Extraction wells, interceptor trenches, and subsurface drains to capture pooled product and create hydraulic containment barrier; Partial slurry wall to prevent infiltration of surface water to groundwater; Removal of NAPLs with sedimentation and oil/H₂O separation; Filtration of water in sand filter and activated carbon; Reinjection followed by possible deep in situ bioremediation with injection wells and feed system for unrecoverable NAPLs; Last phase will cost an additional \$950,000</p> | 36, (1993) |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

1.b. BIOLOGICAL TREATMENTS: *Ex Situ*

SOLID-PHASE BIODEGRADATION/LAND TREATMENT, continued

| | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|---|------------|
| Land treatment of soil with incineration and pump and treat with GAC | Total Capital | \$12,050,000 to \$20,250,000 | Montana Pole and Treating Plant Superfund Site, Butte, Montana | 37, (1993) |
| | O&M | \$657,000 to \$4,420,000/yr. | <u>Media:</u> 218,000 yd ³ excavated soil; 44,000 yd ³ soil in situ; 26,500 gal. sludge; 9100 yd ³ debris; 90 mil. gal. groundwater | |
| | Present worth (7% discount rate, 30 yr. duration, ROD estimate) | \$27,530,000 to \$55,200,000 (1993) | <u>Contaminants:</u> max. conc.: 1160 mg/kg PCP; 2304 mg/kg PAHs; 55.6 mg/kg TPH; plus 370,000 gal. LNAPLs <u>Details:</u> Excavation of 208,000 yd ³ contaminated soil added to 10,000 yd ³ excavated soil stored on-site; Treatment in land treatment unit; Estimated 7 yr. duration; In situ biodegradation of soils below excavation level before backfilling; Soil flushing and bioremediation of inaccessible soils; Containment/hydraulic barrier installation; Pump and treat of groundwater with oil/H ₂ O separation and GAC plus UV oxidation; Estimated 30 yr. duration; Discharge and reinjection of treated water to enhance in situ bioremediation of contaminated groundwater and soils; Decontamination and off-site disposal of debris; Excavation, transportation, incineration of sludge off-site; Long-term groundwater monitoring | |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

1.b. BIOLOGICAL TREATMENTS: *Ex Situ*

SOLID-PHASE BIODEGRADATION/LAND TREATMENT, continued

| | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|------------|
| Land treatment of soil with bioventing, chemical fixation, and pump and treat with GAC | <p>Total O&M</p> <p>Capital costs:</p> <p>Soil remedy</p> <p>Groundwater remedy</p> <p>Debris remedy</p> <p>Indirect and contingency</p> <p>Present worth (ROD estimate)</p> | <p>\$7,400,185</p> <p>\$1,718,402</p> <p>\$2,757,039</p> <p>\$949,776</p> <p>\$1,253,977</p> <p>\$15,551,033 (1992)</p> | <p>Broderick Wood Products Superfund Site, Operable Unit 2, Adams County, Colorado</p> <p><u>Media:</u> 59,000 yd³ organic-contaminated soil; 120 yd³ sediments; 800 yd³ metal-contaminated soil; 526 mil. gal. groundwater; 42,000 yd³ sludge; 850 yd³ debris</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> max. in soil: 14,000 ppm PAHs, 8600 ppm PCPs, 0.38 ppm benzene, 21.4 ppm xylenes, 56 ppm dioxins/furans</p> <p><u>Details:</u> 59,120 yd³ soil and sediments excavated and biodegraded in land treatment unit; 800 yd³ heavy metal-contaminated soil treated by ex situ chemical fixation and disposed of in off-site permitted facility; Extracted groundwater sent through oil/H₂O separator, treated in clay and GAC, reinjected into shallow aquifer; Bioventing of deep contaminants; 25 monitoring wells installed; Soil/bentonite wall plus drainage ditch linings installed; 225 tons scrap decontaminated and reclaimed off-site; Sludges reclaimed off-site; 850 yd³ debris disposed of in permitted landfill</p> | 38, (1992) |
| Land treatment plus soil flushing, and bioreactor treatment of water | <p>Land treatment:</p> <p>Capital</p> <p>O&M</p> <p>Soil flushing:</p> <p>Capital</p> <p>O&M</p> <p>Water treatment:</p> <p>Capital</p> <p>O&M</p> <p>Present worth</p> | <p>\$905,598</p> <p>\$126,509/yr.</p> <p>\$5,483,950</p> <p>\$58,070/yr.</p> <p>\$1,252,725</p> <p>\$744,211/yr.</p> <p>\$9,074,062 (1992)</p> | <p>Idaho Pole Company Site, Bozeman, Montana</p> <p><u>Media:</u> 19,000 yd³ soil and sediment; 23,000 yd³ soil in situ; 210 mil. gal. groundwater</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> PCP up to 25 mg/kg; benzo(a)pyrene up to 1.7 mg/kg; dioxins/furans up to 34.2 µg/kg</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Excavated soil pretreated with oil/H₂O separator; Separated creosote transported off-site; Land treatment unit covers 4 acres with 1 ft. layers; Completed land treatment unit will be closed by capping; Clean fill to replace excavated soil; Inaccessible soils treated by hot water/steam flushing and O₂/nutrient enhanced in situ bioremediation; Groundwater extracted and treated in bioreactor, reinjected to stimulate in situ breakdown</p> | 39, (1992) |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

1.b. BIOLOGICAL TREATMENTS: *Ex Situ*

SLURRY-PHASE TREATMENT/BIOREACTORS

| Treatment Technology | Cost Elements | Cost | Site Characteristics/Comments | Reference |
|--------------------------------|--|--|--|------------|
| Slurry-phase treatment | Total treatment cost | \$8.6 million | <p>Coleman-Evans Superfund Site, White House, Florida</p> <p><u>Media:</u> 27,000 yd³ soil and sediments; groundwater</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> PCP</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Remediation began Sept. 1992; Treatment train includes soil washing, slurry-phase bioremediation of soil, and solids stabilization; Dioxins have been detected and are being evaluated; Clean-up levels for PCP are 25 ppm in soil and sediments and 1 ppm in groundwater</p> | 40, (1991) |
| Slurry-phase bioreactor | <p>Treatability</p> <p>Design engineering</p> <p>Soil screening and slurry prep</p> <p>Slurry treatment</p> <p>Slurry dewatering</p> <p>Site prep and closure</p> <p>Project admin.</p> <p>Total cost/unit</p> | <p>\$200,000</p> <p>\$100,000</p> <p>\$800,000</p> <p>\$700,000</p> <p>\$400,000</p> <p>\$500,000</p> <p>\$200,000</p> <p>\$190 to 200/ton</p> | <p>Southeastern Wood Preserving Superfund Site, Operable Unit 1, Canton, Mississippi</p> <p><u>Media:</u> 10,500 yd³ creosote-contaminated waste (14,140 tons)</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> total PAHs from 8000 to 5,000 mg/kg; carcinogenic PAHs from 1000 to 2500 mg/kg</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Soil excavated and power screened; Prepared slurry transferred to one of four 210,000 gal. reactors (operating vol. 180,000 gal.); Treatment efficiencies were 95% with a treatment criteria of 950 mg/kg total PAHs and 180 mg/kg for benzo(a)pyrene equivalents; Reactors operated in batch mode (8-12 treatment days per batch); Slurry dewatering unit removed excess water for re-use</p> | 41, (1994) |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

1.b. BIOLOGICAL TREATMENTS: *Ex Situ*

SLURRY-PHASE TREATMENT/BIOREACTORS, continued

| | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|------------|
| Slurry-phase bioreactor | <p>Total treatment cost (including \$12 million for tech. development and pilot-scale demo)</p> <p>Before-treatment costs (mobilization, site prep, testing and analysis)</p> <p>After treatment cost (decommissioning, disposal, site restoration)</p> | <p>\$49 million (1993)</p> <p>\$16.5 million</p> <p>\$5.6 million</p> | <p>French Limited Superfund Site, Crosby, Texas</p> <p><u>Media:</u> 300,000 tons sludge and soil</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> VOCs up to 400 ppm; PCP up to 750 ppm; SVOCs up to 5000 ppm; metals up to 5000 ppm; PCBs up to 616 ppm</p> <p><u>Details:</u> 70 mil. gal. petrochemical waste disposed of in unlined lagoon; Large full-scale slurry-phase lagoon bioremediation with Mixflo™ aeration system; Two treatment cells holding 17 mil. gal. each; Tarry sludge dredged, treated separately from lagoon subsoil; Approx. 300,000 tons lagoon sludge/soil treated to <ROD levels</p> | 42, (1995) |
| Slurry-phase bioreactor | Total cost/unit | \$65 to \$262/m ³ (\$50 to \$200/yd ³) | <p>Joliet AAP, Joliet, Illinois</p> <p><u>Media:</u> soil</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> 1300 mg/kg TNT</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Pilot-scale; Slurry-phase biodegradation of explosives-contaminated soils; Soils excavated and fines treated in bioreactor with indigenous microbes; Attained 10 mg/kg TNT in 15 days</p> | 43, (1994) |
| Slurry-phase bioreactor treatment post soil washing | Total cost/unit (including water treatment, slurry biodegradation, and incineration; cost estimate based on demo) | \$168/ton (1989) | <p>Macgillis & Gribbs Industrial Site, New Brighton, Minnesota</p> <p><u>Media:</u> soils</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> 247 ppm PAHs; 130 ppm PCP, Cu, Cr, As</p> <p><u>Details:</u> SITE Program demonstration; Biotrol, Inc. soil washing process for volume reduction; Fixed-film bioreactor to treat process water; Slurry bioreactor to treat soil washing residuals; Incineration of woody debris; Soil washing removed 87-89% PCP and 83-88% PAHs; Removal of 91-94% PCP in bioreactor</p> | 44, (1993) |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

1.b. BIOLOGICAL TREATMENTS: *Ex Situ*

SLURRY-PHASE TREATMENT/BIOREACTORS, continued

| | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|------------|
| Bioreactor treatment of soils plus pump and treat groundwater | Groundwater clean-up | \$236,000 | Jasco Chemical Superfund Site, Mountain View, California | 45, (1992) |
| | Soil clean-up | \$365,000 to \$448,000 | <u>Media:</u> groundwater, 1100 yd ³ soil | |
| | Present worth (includes \$32,800 annual O&M for 5-10 yrs., ROD estimate) | \$601,000 to \$684,000 (1992) | <u>Contaminants:</u> 2.2 ppm 1,1-DCA, 2.6 ppm 1,2-DCA, 170 ppm 1,1-DCE, 142 ppm methylene chloride, 16 ppb vinyl chloride in groundwater; 3400 ppm methylene chloride, 490 ppm trichloroethylene, 170-0 ppm toluene, 270 ppm acetone in soil <u>Details:</u> Groundwater Treatment: On-site construction of liquid-phase carbon adsorption unit; 12 wells from 22 to 35 ft., 3 from 42 to 57.5 ft.; Plume area is 400 ft.; Treated water discharged to municipal sewer system; Continued pump and treat for 10 years; Quarterly monitoring; Soil Treatment: Excavated 1100 yd ³ soil and ex situ treatment in bioreactor with nutrient amendments; Aerobic system with airdraw to pull off VOCs; GAC to treat air stream; Off-site disposal of soils with residual contamination; Costs given as min./max. estimates | |
| Bioreactor and groundwater treatment | Total operating cost of treating 13,680 gal./day (51,779 L/day) | \$226/day (or \$0.0165/gal.) | Biocraft Laboratories, Walwick, New Jersey | 46, (1984) |
| | Including O&M costs of utilities maintenance nutritional salts | \$47.40/day \$159.93/day \$19.20/day | <u>Media:</u> groundwater, soil <u>Contaminants:</u> methylene chloride, butanol, dimethyl aniline, acetone; concentrations of 100 to 700 mg/L <u>Details:</u> Physical recovery of soil followed by surface biological treatment in bioreactors with indigenous microbes; Groundwater collection system and biostimulation plant operating at 13,680 gal./day; Treated groundwater reinjected followed by in situ treatment using oxygen enhancement and nutrient amendments; Total operating costs based on treating 13,680 gal./day | |
| | Total capital and R&D costs | \$926,158 | | |

A SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

1.c. BIOLOGICAL TREATMENT REFERENCES

1. Federal Remediation Technologies Roundtable (October 1994). *Remediation Technologies Screening Matrix and Reference Guide, Second Edition* (EPA/542/B-94/013). Washington, DC: US Government Printing Office, p. 4-9.
2. Ibid.
3. Federal Remediation Technologies Roundtable (March 1995). *Abstracts of Remediation Case Studies* (EPA/542/R/92-001). Washington, DC: US Government Printing Office, pp. 20-21.
4. Ibid., pp. 28-29.
5. Ibid., pp. 68-69.
6. Ibid., pp. 24-25.
7. *Remediation Technologies Screening Matrix and Reference Guide, Second Edition*, p. 4-9.
8. Rittmann BE, Seagran E, Wrenn BA, Valocchi AJ, Ray C, and Raskin L (1994). *In-Situ Bioremediation, Second Edition*. New Jersey: Noyes Publications, Appendix C, pp. 221-222.
9. Ibid., Appendix C, pp. 223-224.
10. Ibid., Appendix C, pp. 237-238.
11. Ibid., Appendix C, pp. 240-241.
12. Ibid., Appendix C, p. 228.
13. Ibid., Appendix C, pp. 216-217.
14. McGuire PN and Ulm D (May/June 1996). Bioremediation of unsaturated soil gets win-win results. *Remediation Management* 2(3): 42-50.
15. Flathman PE and Bottomley LS (1994). Bioremediation of ethylene glycol-contaminated groundwater at the Naval Air Warfare Center in Lakehurst, New Jersey. In PE Flathman, DE Jerger, JH Exner (Eds.), *Bioremediation: Field Experience*. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press, pp. 491-503.
16. US Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) Region 6 (April 1993). Record of Decision: American Creosote Works, Inc. Site, Winnfield Louisiana. Report No. LAD000239814.
17. US EPA Region 6 (June 1988). Summary of Remedial Alternative Selection: North Cavalcade Street Site. Report No. TXD980873343.
18. Rittmann BE, et al. (1994), Appendix C, pp. 236-237.

BIOLOGICAL TREATMENT REFERENCES, continued

19. Flathman PE, Krupp BJ, Zottola P, Trausch JR, Carson JH, Yao R, Laird GJ, Woodhull PM, Jerger DE, and Lear PR (1996). In-situ biological treatment of vinyl acetate-contaminated soil: An emergency response action. *Remediation* 6(2): 57-79.
20. *Abstracts of Remediation Case Studies*, pp. 34-35.
21. Rittmann BE, et al. (1994), Appendix C, pp. 230-231.
22. US Congress Office of Technology Assessment (September 1995). *Cleaning Up Contaminated Wood-Treating Sites* (OTS-BP-ENV-164). Washington DC: Government Printing Office, p. 13.
23. *Remediation Technologies Screening Matrix and Reference Guide, Second Edition*, p. 4-46.
24. Ibid.
25. Jerger DE, Woodhull PM, Flathman PE, and Exner JH (1994). Solid-phase bioremediation of petroleum contaminated soil: Laboratory treatability study through site closure. In PE Flathman, DE Jerger, JH Exner (Eds.), *Bioremediation: Field Experience*. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press Inc., pp. 177-193.
26. US EPA Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response (December 1991). Bioremediation in the Field, No. 4. Report No. EPA/540/2-91/027.
27. Ibid.
28. Ibid.
29. *Abstracts of Remediation Case Studies*, pp. 18-19.
- and -
O'Malley P (May/June 1996). Another arrow in the quiver: Land farming for contaminated soils, *Remediation Management*, 2(3): 30-35
30. *Abstracts of Remediation Case Studies*, pp. 30-31.
31. Ibid., pp. 32-33.
32. Rittmann BE, et al. (1994), Appendix C, pp. 248-250.
- and -
Piotrowski MR, Doyle JR, Cosgriff D, and Parsons MC (1994). Bioremediation progress at the Libby, Montana, Superfund Site. In *Applied Biotechnology for Site Remediation*, Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press, Inc., pp. 240-255.
33. *Remediation Technologies Screening Matrix and Reference Guide, Second Edition*, p. 3-35.
34. US EPA Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response (December 1991). Bioremediation in the Field, No. 4. Report No. EPA/540/2-91/027.

BIOLOGICAL TREATMENT REFERENCES, continued

35. US EPA Office of Emergency and Remedial Response (December 1991). Superfund Record of Decision: South Andover (Operable Unit 2), Minnesota. Second Remedial Action. Report No. EPA/ROD/R05-92/202.
36. US EPA Region 6 (February 1993). Popile Inc. Site Record of Decision. Report No. ARD008052508.
37. US EPA Office of Emergency and Remedial Response (September 1993). Superfund Record of Decision: Montana Pole and Treating, Montana. First Remedial Action. Report No. EPA/ROD/R08-93/075.
38. US EPA Office of Emergency and Remedial Response (March 1992). Superfund Record of Decision: Broderick Wood Products, Colorado. Second Remedial Action. Report No. EPA/ROD/R08-92/057.
- and -
US EPA (February 1995), "Explanation of Significant Differences for the Groundwater Remedy: Broderick Wood Products Superfund Site."
39. US EPA Office of Emergency and Remedial Response (September 1992). Superfund Record of Decision: Idaho Pole Company Site, Montana. Report No. EPA/ROD/R08-92/058.
40. US EPA Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response (December 1991). Bioremediation in the Field, No. 4. Report No. EPA/540/2-91/027.
41. Woodhull PM and Jerger DE (1994). Bioremediation using a commercial slurry-phase treatment system: Site specific applications and costs. *Remediation* 4(3): 353-362.
- and -
US EPA Technology Innovation Office, Vendor Information System for Innovative Treatment Technologies (VISITT) Database, version 4.0. Available at <http://clu-in.com>.
42. *Abstracts of Remediation Case Studies*, pp. 22-23.
43. *Remediation Technologies Screening Matrix and Reference Guide, Second Edition*, p. 4-54.
44. American Academy of Environmental Engineers, WASTECH® (1993). *Innovative Site Remediation Technology: Soil Washing/Soil Flushing*, WC Anderson (Ed.). Maryland: American Academy of Environmental Engineers, p. B.4.
45. US EPA Office of Emergency and Remedial Response (September 1992). Superfund Record of Decision: Jasco Chemical, California. First Remedial Action. Report No. EPA/ROD/R09-92/085.
46. Wagner K, Boyer K, Claff R, Evans M, Henry S, Hodge V, Mahmud S, Sarno D, Scopino E, and Spooner P (1986). *Remedial Action Technology for Waste Disposal Sites, Second Edition*. New Jersey: Noyes Data Corporation, pp. 378, 402-405.

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

2. PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL TREATMENTS

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

2.a. PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL TREATMENTS: *IN SITU*

| SOIL VAPOR EXTRACTION | | | | |
|-----------------------|--|---|--|-----------|
| Treatment Technology | Cost Elements | Cost | Site Characteristics/Comments | Reference |
| SVE | Source Area D (SVE): Construction O&M Total/lb. removed | \$167,000 \$67,200 \$0.52/lb. | Twin Cities Army Ammunition Plant, New Brighton, Minnesota <u>Media:</u> 26,000 ft ² soil in Source Area D; 68,400 ft ² soil in Source Area G | 1, (1992) |
| | Source Area G (SVE + GAC): Construction O&M Total/lb. removed | \$467,000 \$76,900 \$0.79/lb. | <u>Contaminants:</u> VOCs in Source Area D; VOCs, especially TCE, in Source Area G | |
| | Groundwater monitoring Capital Annual operating cost | \$4.3 million \$500,000/yr. | <u>Details:</u> SVE treatment included 129 vents from 35 to 55 ft. with one additional deep vent between 125 and 150 ft.; Source Area G had 8000 lbs. GAC to treat off-gas; 226,074 lbs. VOCs removed; Large-scale groundwater monitoring with 300 wells | |
| SVE | Total capital cost Annual operating cost (estimate) | \$5,313,973 \$100,000/yr. (1994) | Commencement Bay, South Tacoma Channel Well 12A Superfund Site, Phase 2, Tacoma, Washington <u>Media:</u> 98,203 yd ³ soil <u>Contaminants:</u> 10 to 100 mg/kg VOCs in top 25 ft. of soil; 6200 mg/kg PCA at 30 ft.; 19,000 mg/kg PCA at 40 ft.; 571,000 lbs. VOCs in unsaturated zone <u>Details:</u> SVE with on-site solvent recovery system; 22 vapor extraction wells; GAC to treat off-gas; Estimates from earlier pilot-scale study indicated that 3 to 4 lbs./day/well of VOCs could be removed from upper 30 ft. of soil | 2, (1995) |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

2.a. PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL TREATMENTS: *In Situ*

SOIL VAPOR EXTRACTION, continued

| | | | | |
|------------|---|---|---|-----------|
| SVE | <p>Capital cost (installation of wells and vapor extraction system, engineering services)</p> <p>Total O&M for 16 mos. (water quality sampling/analysis, water level monitoring, engineering services, carbon regeneration, equip. maintenance)</p> | <p>\$2.1 million</p> <p>\$1.8 million</p> | <p>Fairchild Semiconductor Corporation Superfund Site, San Jose, California</p> <p><u>Media:</u> 42,000 yd³ soil</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> TCA, DCE, PCE, xylenes, acetone, Freon-113, isopropyl alcohol; total solvent concentration as high as 4500 ppm; TCA up to 3530 ppm; xylenes up to 941 ppm</p> <p><u>Details:</u> SVE system with 39 extraction wells, 2 vacuum pumps, vapor treatment system with dehumidification and vapor-phase activated carbon</p> | 3, (1995) |
| SVE | Total treatment cost (project monitoring and control, procurement support, construction, mang., O&M, reporting) | \$369,628 (1993) (\$2/yr ³) | <p>Hastings Groundwater Contamination Superfund Site, Well No. 3 Subsite, Hastings, Nebraska</p> <p><u>Media:</u> 185,000 yd³ soil</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> CCl₄; soil gas as high as 1234 ppmv at 112 ft.</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Full-scale system consisted of 10 extraction wells (5 deep, 3 intermediate, 2 shallow); 5 monitoring well probes; Air/H₂O separator, vacuum pump and vapor phase activated carbon to treat off-gas</p> | 4, (1995) |
| SVE | <p>Capital cost (site prep, site work, start-up, engineering, pipes, buildings)</p> <p>Operating cost (lab, labor, utilities, maintenance)</p> <p>Total treatment cost</p> | <p>\$297,017</p> <p>\$210,168/yr. (1992)</p> <p>\$507,185</p> | <p>North Fire Training Area, Luke Air Force Base, Arizona</p> <p><u>Media:</u> soil</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> BTEX, TPH</p> <p><u>Details:</u> SVE included 2 extraction wells at 57 ft.; Thermal oxidation of off-gas; 12,000 lbs. removed in 30 weeks</p> | 5, (1995) |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

2.a. PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL TREATMENTS: *IN SITU*

SOIL VAPOR EXTRACTION, continued

| | | | | |
|------------|---|---|---|-----------|
| SVE | Field demo budget (site characterization, installation/operation of SVE wells, air/H ₂ O separators, blowers, lab, engineering support, leased catalytic oxidizer and resin adsorption unit) For 1993 For 1994 | \$1.8 million \$2.0 million | Site S, Superfund Operable Unit D, McClellan Air Force Base, Sacramento, California <u>Media:</u> soil in waste pit, debris, and vadose zone soils <u>Contaminants:</u> chlorinated and petroleum-based VOCs; PCE, TCE, 1,1-DCE, TCA, 1,2-DCA, Freon-113 <u>Details:</u> 17 vapor extraction wells in 3 contaminant zones; 5 vacuum blowers; 2 vapor/liquid separators; Catalytic oxidation with scrubbers; 113,000 lbs. VOCs extracted in 15 weeks; 150,000 lbs. hexane-equivalent contaminants biodegraded in situ | 6, (1995) |
| SVE | Treatment activities (installation, operation) Before-treatment costs (mobilization, site prep, monitoring, lab) After-treatment costs (including pilot study) | \$75,600 (1991) \$88,490 \$19,560 | Rocky Mountain Arsenal Superfund Site, Motor Pool Area Operable Unit 18, Commerce City, Colorado <u>Media:</u> 34,000 yd ³ soil in vadose zone <u>Contaminants:</u> halogenated VOCs, primarily TCE as high as 65 ppm <u>Details:</u> One shallow extraction well at 28 ft.; one deep extraction well at 58 ft.; Liquid/vapor separator tank; GAC for off-gas; 4 clusters of vapor monitoring wells | 7, (1995) |
| SVE | Total treatment cost (mobilization, start-up, operation, sampling and analysis, demob.) Additional costs (attributable to treatment of non-Freon contaminants) | \$556,000 (1993) \$290,000 | Sacramento Army Depot Superfund Site, Tank 2 Operable Unit, Sacramento, California <u>Media:</u> 650 yd ³ soil around UST <u>Contaminants:</u> ethylbenzene, 2-butanone, tetrachloroethylene, xylenes up to 11,000 mg/kg, Freon-113 <u>Details:</u> 8 vacuum extraction wells from 15 to 28 ft.; vapor/liquid separator; Carbon adsorption to treat off-gas | 8, (1995) |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

2.a. PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL TREATMENTS: *IN SITU*

SOIL VAPOR EXTRACTION, continued

| | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|--|--|------------|
| SVE with horizontal wells | O&M for one year Total treatment cost | \$182,700/yr. (1993) \$450,420 (\$360/yr ³) | SMS Instruments Superfund Site, Deer Park, New York <u>Media:</u> 1250 yd ³ soil <u>Contaminants:</u> VOCs 1200 ppm max., SVOCs 1800 ppm max. <u>Details:</u> Soil contaminated in area of leaching pool and UST; SVE system has 2 horizontal extraction wells installed in 15 ft. deep x 2 ft. x 75 ft. long trenches adjacent to contaminated area; Off-gas treated by catalytic incineration with acid gas scrubbing | 9, (1995) |
| SVE | Treatment activities (solids prep/handling, mobilization, start-up and testing, permit, operation, ownership, demobilization) Before-treatment (monitoring, sampling, analysis, demolition and removal of structures) | \$1,545,281 (1992) \$535,180 | Verona Well Field Superfund Site, Thomas Solvent Raymond Road Operable Unit 1, Battle Creek, Michigan <u>Media:</u> 26,700 yd ³ soil <u>Contaminants:</u> PCE and 1,1,1-tetrachloroethane; 1700 lbs. total VOCs in soil <u>Details:</u> 27 contaminated municipal wells; SVE system had 23 extraction wells; Catalytic oxidation and GAC for treatment of off-gas; Total of 45,000 lbs. VOCs removed | 10, (1995) |
| SVE | Present worth (ROD estimate) | \$614,414 (1991) (\$620/yr ³) | Tank 2 Operable Unit (OU3), Sacramento Army Depot, Sacramento, California <u>Media:</u> 1000 yd ³ soil <u>Contaminants:</u> MEK max. 15 ppm, ethylbenzene max. 2100 ppm, PCE max. 39 ppm, xylenes max. 11,000 ppm <u>Details:</u> Contamination in 875 ft ² area down to 31 ft.; SVE is 200 cfm system; Extraction wells from 9 to 18 ft.; Water vapor from air/H ₂ O separator condensed and treated on-site in already-constructed UV/H ₂ O ₂ system; Off-gas treated in series of 2000 lb. GAC canisters; Cost does not include O&M since remedy will take <1 yr. | 11, (1991) |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

2.a. PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL TREATMENTS: *IN SITU*

| SVE IN CONJUNCTION WITH OTHER TREATMENT(S) | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|------------|
| Treatment Technology | Cost Elements | Cost | Site Characteristics/Comments | Reference |
| SVE and bioventing | <p>Capital cost (construction, start-up)</p> <p>Operation cost (electricity, fuel, labor, lab, equipment leases for 2 yr. operation)</p> <p>Total treatment cost</p> | <p>\$335,000</p> <p>\$132,000/yr. (1990)</p> <p>\$599,000 (\$120/yr³)</p> | <p>JP-4 Fuel Spill Site at Site 914, Hill Air Force Base, Ogden, Utah</p> <p><u>Media:</u> 5000 yd³ soil in 13,500 ft² area</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> 27,000 gallons JP-4 jet fuel; 20 to 10,000 ppm TPH, avg. 400 ppm</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Two-phase clean-up: Phase 1 - SVE with 7 vent wells (50 ft.); 31 monitoring wells (between 6 and 55 ft.); 3 neutron access probes to monitor soil moisture; Catalytic incinerator for extracted vapor; Phase 2 - 15 mo. bioventing to reduce soil TPH levels to clean-up goals; 4 vent wells and the monitoring wells from SVE</p> | 12, (1995) |
| Density-driven groundwater sparging with SVE | <p>Capital cost (drill/install wells and sparging system, start-up, project mang.)</p> <p>Operating cost (maintenance, electricity, monitoring)</p> | <p>\$156,950</p> <p>\$62,750/yr. (1993)</p> | <p>Amcor Precast, Ogden, Utah</p> <p><u>Media:</u> groundwater plume area approx. 30,000 ft²; 7500 yd³ soil</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> 190 mg/L TPH, 4.7 mg/L benzene, 9.4 mg/L toluene, 8.0 mg/L xylenes, 0.63 mg/L naphthalene max. in groundwater; 1600 ppm TPH, 2.5 ppm toluene, 19 ppm ethylbenzene, 110 ppm xylenes max. in soil</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Full-scale remediation of groundwater contaminated with diesel and gasoline fuels; 12 groundwater sparging wells at 18 ft.; 3 down-gradient extraction wells at 20 ft.; 3 vertical extraction wells; In situ density-driven groundwater sparging, groundwater recirculation, and SVE</p> | 13, (1995) |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

2.a. PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL TREATMENTS: *In Situ*

| SVE IN CONJUNCTION WITH OTHER TREATMENT(S), continued | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|------------|
| Air sparging and SVE | Initial pilot study | \$90,000 | UST Site, Big Rapids, Michigan | 14, (1995) |
| | Treatment system | \$165,000 | <u>Media:</u> 43,200 ft ² soil; free product floating on surface water and in groundwater | |
| | O&M \$1500/mo. for 6 mos. | \$9000 | <u>Contaminants:</u> 12% VOCs by volume; gasoline | |
| | Electricity \$600/mo. for 6 mos. | \$3600 | <u>Details:</u> Site contained 5 leaking USTs and gasoline lines; Air sparging provided in situ removal of dissolved VOCs from groundwater; Vacuum extraction used during air sparging to control and capture the stripped contaminants in the sparge area; Automated Soil Vent Trailer (ASVT) with Shallow Tray® H ₂ O treatment system; 7 vapor extraction wells and 15 air sparging wells, plus 640 feet of trenching to connect VE and SP wells; GAC to treat off-gas | |
| | Total treatment cost | \$267,000 (1995) | | |
| SVE plus ex situ bioremediation and pump and treat | Total treatment cost | \$12,636,000 (US, 1995) | Abandoned Coal Processing Plant and Coke Works, Derwenthaugh, United Kingdom <u>Media:</u> 12,000 m ³ water; 94,000 m ³ soil <u>Contaminants:</u> benzene, phenols, PAHs <u>Details:</u> Installed a cut-off wall through shallow aquifer to prevent river water from entering zone of depression; Free phase and vapor recovery through liquid/gas separator and GAC; 43 wells at 5 m depths with dual vacuum extraction; Groundwater pumped at 100 m ³ /day; Precipitated metals and chemically oxidized cyanide and sulfides; Water filtered and discharged to river; 3000 kg oil recovered; 28,000 m ³ landfarmed with inorganic amendments; Clean soil encapsulated and stored on-site | 15, (1995) |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

2.a. PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL TREATMENTS: *In Situ*

| SVE IN CONJUNCTION WITH OTHER TREATMENT(S), continued | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|--------------------|---|------------|
| SVE plus pump and treat with GAC | Capital cost | \$1,951,500 | Garden State Cleaners, Buena Borough, New Jersey | 16, (1991) |
| | O&M | \$249,000/yr. | <u>Media:</u> approx. 1600 yd ³ soil; 1.6 bil. gal. groundwater | |
| | Present worth (ROD estimate) | \$5,451,000 (1991) | <u>Contaminants:</u> 6.1 ppm TCE, 1300 ppm PCE, 8.1 ppm acetone, 0.5 ppm methylene chloride max. in soil; 13 ppm TCE and 1.9 ppm PCE max. in groundwater <u>Details:</u> SVE system operating 6 to 9 mos.; Contaminated air/water flows to air/H ₂ O separator, contaminated water pumped into treatment system and air stream treated with GAC; Estimated 70 yrs. to treat entire plume; 13 deep and 7 medium extraction wells operating at 1000 gpm; 10 injection wells for treated water | |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

2.a. PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL TREATMENTS: *IN SITU*

SOLIDIFICATION/STABILIZATION

| Treatment Technology | Cost Elements | Cost | Site Characteristics/Comments | Reference |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---|------------|
| In situ solidification/stabilization | Total cost/unit | \$111 to \$194/ton | <p>Hialeah, Florida</p> <p><u>Media:</u> soil</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> inorganics</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Drive auger used deep in contaminated zone; Additive slurry and water stabilized soil in situ</p> | 17, (1994) |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

2.b. PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL TREATMENTS: *EX SITU*

| SOIL WASHING | | | | |
|----------------------|---|------------------------------|---|------------|
| Treatment Technology | Cost Elements | Cost | Site Characteristics/Comments | Reference |
| Soil washing | Total cost/unit | \$300/hr. | <p>Montclair Superfund Site, Montclair, New Jersey</p> <p><u>Media:</u> soils</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> low-level radioactive waste (LLRW)</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Remediation level attained was 11 pCi/g; Use of attrition mill, classifiers, and filter press to reduce amount of LLRW for disposal; 55% volume reduction</p> | 18, (1994) |
| Soil washing | Treatment cost of first 11,000 kgs (25,000 lbs.) Cr(VI) | \$88/kg (\$40/lb.) | <p>United Chrome Product, Corvallis, Oregon</p> <p><u>Media:</u> 8 acre shallow aquifer plume; soil</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> max. upper aquifer contamination of 19,000 mg/L Cr(VI), avg. 1923 mg/L</p> <p><u>Details:</u> 100,000 gal. extraction system with 2 infiltration basins and 1 infiltration trench; 23 shallow extraction wells; Ran for 3 years and treated 9.7 MM gal. H₂O with 26,732 lbs. Cr(VI) removed; Treatment costs expected to double as concentration of Cr(VI) drops in order to reach 10 mg/L level</p> | 19, (1993) |
| Soil washing | Total cost/unit (projected from pilot scale) | \$151/metric ton (\$137/ton) | <p>Escambia Wood Treating Company Superfund Site, Pensacola, Florida</p> <p><u>Media:</u> soils</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> 550 to 1700 ppm PAH; 48 to 210 ppm PCP</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Pilot scale; Used particle size classification and surfactant addition; Remaining soil volume contained 45 ppm PAHs and 3 ppm PCPs</p> | 20, (1994) |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

2.b. PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL TREATMENTS: *EX SITU*

SOIL WASHING, continued

| | | | | |
|---------------------|--|--|--|------------|
| Soil washing | Total cost (including off-site disposal of sludge cake) | \$7.7 million (1993) (\$400/yd ³) | King of Prussia Technical Corporation Superfund Site, Winslow Township, New Jersey <u>Media:</u> 19,200 tons soil and sludge <u>Contaminants:</u> metals including Cr, Cu, Ni, Hg, Pb, As, Be, Cd, Se, Ag, and Zn; highest concentrations found in sediments were 8010 mg/kg Cr, 9070 mg/kg Cu, and 100 mg/kg Hg; highest concentrations in sludges were 11,300 mg/kg Cr, 16,300 mg/kg Cu, 389 mg/kg Pb, and 11,100 mg/kg Ni <u>Details:</u> Demonstration ran Jan. to Oct. 1992 with soil washing feasibility study; Full-scale demo at Heidamij plant, the Netherlands, on 1000 tons soil; Full-scale on- site soil washing system with selective excavation using XRF (which reduced soil volume by a factor of 2), screening, soil separation using hydrocyclones, froth flotation, and sludge management; Water reused for wet screening; Treated soil used as backfill at site | 21, (1995) |
| Soil washing | Capital costs: Construction Site development Utilities Transportation/set-up Total Capital Operating costs: Direct Indirect Overhead Total Operating Total treatment cost | \$950,000 \$50,000 \$30,000 \$40,000 \$1,070,000 \$98,980/mo. \$23,000 \$16,000 \$129,980/mo. \$3,173,540 or \$21/yd ³ | Montclair/West Orange Radium Superfund Site, New Jersey <u>Media:</u> 323,000 yd ³ soil <u>Contaminants:</u> 40 pCi/g Ra-226 primarily from radium ore processing; also U-235, U-238, and Th-230 <u>Details:</u> Pilot plant demonstration; Soil washing plant used attrition, screening, and wet classification; VORCE (volume reduction/chemical extraction) recovered 54% of material; 46% needed disposal; Capital costs relatively fixed; Treating 20 tons/hr.; Soils at surface reduced to 5 pCi/g and soils distant from dwellings reduced to 15 pCi/g; Remediation took 23 mos. | 22, (1993) |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

2.b. PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL TREATMENTS: *EX SITU*

SOIL WASHING, continued

| | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|------------|
| Soil washing | Treatment cost/unit | \$100/tonne (Canadian) | Ataratiri Site, Toronto, Ontario, Canada <u>Media:</u> 500,000 tons soil <u>Contaminants:</u> heavy metals, PAHs <u>Details:</u> 32 hectare site with full-scale treatment; Integrated treatment process of soil washing and metal recovery (leaching and chelation absorption of leached metals); 84% to 86% contaminated soil was recovered for re-use; 75% recovery of oil/grease and 95% recovery of PAHs for secondary treatment | 23, (1995) |
| Sediment washing | Total treatment cost for 10,000 yd ³ Total treatment cost for 100,000 yd ³ | \$54/yd ³ \$24/yd ³ | US Army Corps of Engineers Saginaw Bay Confined Disposal Facility, Saginaw Bay, Michigan <u>Media:</u> river sediment <u>Contaminants:</u> PCBs, avg. concentration 1.2 mg/kg in feed sediments (range = 0.74 to 3.2 mg/kg) <u>Details:</u> Pilot scale; Bergman USA's sediment washing process using hydrocyclones to separate slurries; Treated volume reduced to 20 to 30% of original sediment; 0.21 mg/kg PCBs in washed sand fraction with 83% reduction | 24, (1995) |
| Soil washing and incineration | Total treatment cost (ROD estimate) | \$10.3 million | Arkwood Inc. Superfund Site, Omaha, Arkansas <u>Media:</u> 21,000 yd ³ soil and sludge; 7000 yd ³ debris for incineration <u>Contaminants:</u> PCP and creosote contamination in surface water, soil, and debris; PAHs, dioxins <u>Details:</u> 15 acre site; Excavated 21,000 yd ³ soil/sludge for soil washing; On-site incineration of soil washing residuals; Incineration of free creosote; Backfilled with decontaminated material; Capping and revegetation of site; Long-term groundwater monitoring | 25, (1995) |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

2.b. PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL TREATMENTS: *EX SITU*

SOIL WASHING, continued

| | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|------------|
| Soil washing with bioreactor and incineration | Treatment cost/unit (including water treatment, slurry biodegradation, and incineration) | \$168/ton (1989) | Macgillis & Gribbs Site, New Brighton, Minnesota <u>Media:</u> soils <u>Contaminants:</u> 247 ppm PAHs; 130 ppm PCP, Cu, Cr, As <u>Details:</u> SITE Program demonstration; Biotrol, Inc. soil washing process for volume reduction; Fixed-film bioreactor to treat process water; Slurry bioreactor to treat soil washing residuals; Incineration of woody debris; 87-89% removal of PCP from soil washing and 91-94% in bioreactor; 83-88% removal of PAHs from soil washing | 26, (1993) |
| Soil washing with ex situ bioremediation | Demonstration project cost | \$8,000,000 (Canadian dollars, 1992) (\$1900/yd ³ , Canadian) | Toronto Harbour Commission's (THC) Soil Recycling Demonstration Project, Toronto, Ontario, Canada <u>Media:</u> 4400 tons soil <u>Contaminants:</u> Cd, As, Cu, Pb, Hg, Zn, Ni, PAHs <u>Details:</u> Full-scale demonstration project; Integrated soil washing with metal extraction by chelation; Bergman USA's chemical attrition scrubbing system treated up to 10 ton/hr. on-site; BSN's high pressure wash system operated at 50 ton/hr. off-site; Ex situ aerobic bioremediation in upflow air reactors reduced organics | 27, (1993) |
| Soil washing with in situ aquifer biodegradation | Total treatment cost (including capital and O&M; 5 yr. duration) | \$1,191,000 | Union Pacific Railroad, Pocatello, Idaho <u>Media:</u> soil; upper level aquifer (580 mil. gal. H ₂ O) <u>Contaminants:</u> NAPLs <u>Details:</u> Two soil washing processes: Bergman USA's on-site chemical attrition scrubbing system (5-10 ton/hr.) plus BSN's off-site high-pressure wash system (50 ton/hr.); 11 extraction wells operating at 20 gpm each; Installed recovery wells, treatment system, and infiltration galleries; Treated water enhanced with O ₂ /nutrients to stimulate in situ biodegradation during soil flushing | 28, (1993) |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

2.b. PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL TREATMENTS: *EX SITU*

| SOLIDIFICATION/STABILIZATION | | | | |
|---|-----------------|----------------------------|---|------------|
| Treatment Technology | Cost Elements | Cost | Site Characteristics/Comments | Reference |
| Ex situ solidification/stabilization | Total cost/unit | \$80/metric ton (\$73/ton) | Portable Equipment Salvage, Clackamas, Oklahoma <u>Media:</u> soils <u>Contaminants:</u> Cu, Pb, Zn <u>Details:</u> Dry alumina, calcium, and silica blended in reaction vessel to solidify soils ex situ; 93.2 to 99.9% reduction in heavy metal TCLP levels | 29, (1994) |
| Ex situ solidification/stabilization | Total cost/unit | \$94/metric ton (\$85/ton) | Naval Construction Battalion Center, Port Hueneme, California <u>Media:</u> soils <u>Contaminants:</u> heavy metals, spent blasting abrasives <u>Details:</u> Spent blasting abrasives screened and mixed with portland cement and soluble silicates; Level reduced to <5 ppm TCLP | 30, (1994) |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

2.b. PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL TREATMENTS: *EX SITU*

| VACUUM EXTRACTION | | | | |
|---|---------------|---|--|------------|
| Treatment Technology | Cost Elements | Cost | Site Characteristics/Comments | Reference |
| Ex situ vacuum extraction with horizontal wells | Total cost | \$2 million (1993) (\$1000/yd ³) | <p>EPA Removal Action, Basket Creek Surface Impoundment, Georgia</p> <p><u>Media:</u> 2000 yd³ soil</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> TCE, PCE, MEK, BTEX</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Ex situ vacuum extraction done on soil pile with horizontal wells; Enclosure built over site; Residual soils disposed of in non-hazardous landfill; VOCs captured and destroyed with incineration (70,000 lbs. VOCs total); Surface impoundment built for disposal of waste solvents</p> | 31, (1994) |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

2.b. PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL TREATMENTS: *EX SITU*

PHYSICAL SEPARATION/CHEMICAL EXTRACTION

| Treatment Technology | Cost Elements | Cost | Site Characteristics/Comments | Reference |
|--|---|--|---|------------|
| Physical separation/chemical extraction | Total cost/unit | \$1000/yd ³ (1992) | DOE Demonstration, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, Idaho <u>Media:</u> sediments <u>Contaminants:</u> radionuclides (Cs-137) and metals <u>Details:</u> Remediation involved removing contaminants from leachate by ion exchange, reverse osmosis, precipitation, and evaporation; Materials were screened, segregated, and leached with hot nitric acid; Residuals were solidified and leachate was calcined | 32, (1994) |
| Solvent extraction | Total treatment cost for 25,000 yd ³ sediment 100,000 yd ³ sediment | \$174/yd ³ \$139/yd ³ | US Steel, Gary Works, Grand Calumet River, Indiana <u>Media:</u> river sediment <u>Contaminants:</u> 12.1 mg/kg PCBs, avg.; 548 mg/kg PAHs, avg. in sediment feed <u>Details:</u> Pilot scale; Resources Conservation Co.'s Basic Extractive Sludge (BEST) extraction using triethylamine as solvent to separate PAHs and PCBs from sediments; Concentrated them into oily residue; Residual contained avg. 0.04 mg/kg PCBs (99.7% reduction) and 22 mg/kg PAHs (96.0% reduction) | 33, (1995) |
| Critical fluid extraction | Total treatment cost (ROD/contractor estimate) | \$34 million (\$361.70/yd ³) | United Creosoting Superfund Site, Conroe, Texas <u>Media:</u> 94,000 yd ³ soil, 100 acre site <u>Contaminants:</u> PAHs, PCPs, dioxins <u>Details:</u> Excavation, on-site treatment of soil with critical fluid extraction; Liquid propane solvent; Off-site incineration of concentrated residues; Waste water recycled, discharged; Backfilled site with treated soil | 34, (1995) |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

2.b. PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL TREATMENTS: *EX SITU*

| OXIDATION/REDUCTION | | | | |
|----------------------|---------------|-----------|---|------------|
| Treatment Technology | Cost Elements | Cost | Site Characteristics/Comments | Reference |
| Oxidation/reduction | Total cost | \$230,000 | <p>Cement Products Manufacturing Site, Salt Lake City, Utah</p> <p><u>Media:</u> groundwater; 6400 to 14,000 yd³ soil and sediment</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> predominantly BTEX and TPH; groundwater concentrations: benzene from 5 to 4600 µg/L; toluene from 4 to 1800 µg/L; ethylbenzene from 3 to 600 µg/L; xylene from 10 to 7000 µg/L; TPH from 700 to 66,000 µg/L</p> <p><u>Details:</u> 25,000 ft² area under remediation; Soil contaminated to depths between 7 and 15 ft. across site; Per unit costs depend on how much contaminated media total needs treatment; Terra Vac, Inc. technology</p> | 35, (1996) |
| Oxidation/reduction | Total cost | \$10,000 | <p>Gasoline Service Station, Berkeley, California</p> <p><u>Media:</u> groundwater; 1100 yd³ soil and sediment</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> groundwater avg. conc.: 600 µg/L benzene; 11,000 µg/L TPH</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Area is 5000 ft² to a depth of 6 ft.; Terra Vac, Inc. technology</p> | 36, (1996) |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

2.c. PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL SOIL TREATMENT REFERENCES

1. Rissell P, McCleary MR, Terho DL, and Wenck NC (1992). Evaluating the effectiveness of interim remediation actions at Source Area D and G: Twin Cities Army Ammunition Plant, Minnesota. Presentation at the 16th Annual Army Environmental R & D Symposium, June 1992.
2. Federal Remediation Technologies Roundtable (March 1995). *Abstracts of Remediation Case Studies* (EPA/542/R/92-001). Washington, DC: US Government Printing Office, pp. 62-63.
3. Ibid., pp. 64-65.
4. Ibid., pp. 66-67.
5. Ibid., pp. 70-71.
6. Ibid., pp. 72-73.
7. Ibid., pp. 74-75.
8. Ibid., pp. 76-77.
9. Ibid., pp. 78-79.
10. Ibid., pp. 80-81.
11. US Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) Office of Emergency and Remedial Response (December 1991). Superfund Record of Decision: Sacramento Army Depot Operable Unit 3, California. Second Remedial Action. Report No. EPA/ROD/R09-92/077.
12. *Abstracts of Remediation Case Studies*, pp. 68-69.
13. Ibid., pp. 38-39.
14. Patterson J (1995). Case study: On-site remediation of soil and groundwater for a Michigan town's water supply. *Remediation* 5(4): 73-82.
15. Committee on the Challenges of Modern Society, NATO (May 1995). NATO/CCMS Pilot Study: Evaluation of Demonstrated and Emerging Technologies for the Treatment and Clean-up of Contaminated Land and Groundwater (Phase II), No. 203, p. 42. Report No. EPA/542/R-95/006.
16. US EPA Office of Emergency and Remedial Response (September 1991). Superfund Record of Decision: Garden State Cleaners, New Jersey. Report No. EPA/ROD/R02-91/148.
17. *Remediation Technologies Screening Matrix and Reference Guide, Second Edition*, p. 4-30.
18. Ibid., p. 4-70.

PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL SOIL TREATMENT REFERENCES, continued

19. American Academy of Environmental Engineers, WASTECH® (1993). *Innovative Site Remediation Technology: Soil Washing/Soil Flushing*, WC Anderson (Ed.). Maryland: American Academy of Environmental Engineers, pp. B.17 - B.20.
20. *Remediation Technologies Screening Matrix and Reference Guide, Second Edition*, p. 4-70.
21. *Abstracts of Remediation Case Studies*, pp. 86-87.
22. Eagle MC, Richardson WS, Hay SS, and Cox C (1993). Soil washing for volume reduction of radioactively contaminated soils. *Remediation* 3(3): 327-344.
23. Committee on Challenges of Modern Society, NATO (May 1995), p. 7.
24. Garbaciak S and Miller JA (1995). Field demonstration of sediment treatment technologies by the US EPA's Assessment and Remediation of Contaminated Sediments (ARCS) Program. In KR Dewars, GN Richardson, RN Yong, and RC Chaney (Eds.), *Dredging, Remediation, and Containment of Contaminated Sediments*. Pennsylvania: American Society for Testing and Materials, pp. 145-154.
25. US Congress Office of Technology Assessment (September 1995). *Cleaning Up Contaminated Wood-Treating Sites* (OTA-BP-ENV-164). Washington DC: Government Printing Office, p. 16.
26. *Innovative Site Remediation Technology: Soil Washing/Soil Flushing*, p. B.4.
27. Ibid., pp. B.6 - B.7.
28. Ibid., p. B.12.
29. *Remediation Technologies Screening Matrix and Reference Guide, Second Edition*, p. 4-80.
30. Ibid.
31. *Remediation Technologies Screening Matrix and Reference Guide, Second Edition*, p. 3-40.
32. Ibid., p. 3-42.
33. Garbaciak S and Miller JA (1995).
34. US Congress Office of Technology Assessment (September 1995).
35. US EPA Technology Innovation Office, Vendor Information System for Innovative Treatment Technologies (VISITT) Database, version 4.0. Available from <http://clu-in.com>.
36. Ibid.

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

3. THERMAL TREATMENTS

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

3.a. THERMAL TREATMENTS: *In Situ*

| IN SITU VITRIFICATION | | | | |
|-----------------------|---|--|---|-----------|
| Treatment Technology | Cost Elements | Cost | Site Characteristics/Comments | Reference |
| In situ vitrification | <p>Vitrification activities</p> <p>Before-treatment (mobilization, site admin., site prep, sampling/analysis)</p> <p>After-treatment (backfill and restoration, drainage structure, demobilization)</p> | <p>\$800,000 (1994)</p> <p>\$800,000</p> <p>\$90,000</p> | <p>Parsons Chemical/ETM Enterprises Superfund Site, Grand Ledge, Michigan</p> <p><u>Media:</u> 3000 yd³ soil and sediment</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> pesticides, heavy metals, dioxins, phthalates, PAHs; dioxin at 1.13 µg/kg; range of other contaminants was 0.99 mg/kg to 430 mg/kg</p> <p><u>Details:</u> ISV system consisted of 9 melt cells (26 ft² in 16 ft. deep trench); Contaminated soil excavated and staged at site; 8 melts, each 10-20 days using 559,000-1,100,000 kWhr; Air emissions control system with off-gas collection hood, water scrubber, and thermal oxidizer</p> | 1, (1995) |
| In situ vitrification | Total cost/unit | \$300 to \$400/ton (1993) | <p>DOE Demonstration, Hanford Reservation, Washington</p> <p><u>Media:</u> soil</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> organics, inorganics, radionuclides</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Field demonstration; Joule heating of soil through the application of electrodes; Organics were destroyed, inorganics were vitrified</p> | 2, (1994) |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

3.a. THERMAL TREATMENTS: *In Situ*

| THERMALLY-ENHANCED SVE | | | | |
|------------------------|--|--|--|-----------|
| Treatment Technology | Cost Elements | Cost | Site Characteristics/Comments | Reference |
| Thermally-enhanced SVE | Total cost/unit | \$330 to \$415/m ³ (\$252 to \$317/yd ³) | Annex Terminal, San Pedro, California <u>Media:</u> soil <u>Contaminants:</u> VOCs, SVOCs <u>Details:</u> In situ steam and air stripping of soil via hollowstem, rotating blade drills; Removal of 85% VOCs and 55% SVOCs | 3, (1994) |
| Thermally-enhanced SVE | Capital O&M costs for a 1000 gpm system | \$4.3 million \$630,000/yr | Lockheed Aeronautical Systems, Burbank, California <u>Media:</u> groundwater, soil <u>Contaminants:</u> 2.2 ppm TCE and 11 ppm PCE in groundwater; 6000 ppm VOCs in soil (soil gas) <u>Details:</u> Integrated groundwater stripping and soil system; Running at 1000 gpm; Removal of >98% VOCs | 4, (1994) |
| Thermally-enhanced SVE | Total cost/unit | \$16 to \$33/metric ton (\$15 to \$30/ton) | Sandia National Laboratory, Albuquerque, New Mexico <u>Media:</u> landfill <u>Contaminants:</u> organics, firetraining and chemical production wastes <u>Details:</u> Thermal enhancement by integrated resistive (powerline) and radio frequency (microwave) heating; Costs dependent on soil moisture | 5, (1994) |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

3.a. THERMAL TREATMENTS: *In Situ*

THERMALLY-ENHANCED SVE, continued

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|---|--|-----------|
| Thermally-enhanced SVE | Total cost/unit | \$45/ton | <p>Volkfield, Wisconsin</p> <p><u>Media:</u> sand</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> VOCs, SVOCs</p> <p><u>Details:</u> In situ IITRI design; System run in shallow sand; Removal of 99% VOCs and 83-99% SVOCs</p> | 6, (1994) |
| Thermally-enhanced SVE | <p>Total treatment cost/unit</p> <p>Treatment cost/lb. VOC removed</p> <p>Total treatment price</p> | <p>\$63/yd³ soil</p> <p>\$11/lb. VOC</p> <p>\$1.95 million</p> | <p>Sand Creek Superfund Site, Operable Unit 1, Commerce City, Colorado</p> <p><u>Media:</u> 31,000 yd³ soil</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> 177,000 lbs. VOCs including PCE, TCE, chloroform, methylene chloride</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Thermally-enhanced SVE with vertical and horizontal wells interchanged with dual vacuum extraction, heated vapor reinjection, and air sparging; Upward diffusion of volatile compounds to unsaturated vadose zone; GAC to treat vapor with two 8000 lb. carbon vessels; 3 well fields with 31 vertical wells, 1 horizontal well; Peripheral wells operated in vacuum service; Confirmation borings at 32 locations with on-site soil analysis; Removed 3300 lbs. VOCs</p> | 7, (1995) |
| Thermally-enhanced SVE | Total cost/unit | \$15 to \$20/ton (1993) | <p>DOE Demonstration, Sandia National Laboratory, Albuquerque, New Mexico</p> <p><u>Media:</u> soil</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> VOCs</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Field demonstration run at 200-1600V and 100°C; Used resistive heating and radiofrequency heating; Costs highly dependent on soil moisture content and treatment temperature; Demo did not include treatment of off-gas</p> | 8, (1994) |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

3.b. THERMAL TREATMENTS: *EX SITU*

| THERMAL DESORPTION | | | | |
|----------------------|--|--|--|------------|
| Treatment Technology | Cost Elements | Cost | Site Characteristics/Comments | Reference |
| Thermal desorption | Total treatment cost (contractor estimate; salaries, wages, leases, supplies, subcontracts, fuel; 80% of cost associated with actual treatment) | \$2.9 million (1987) (\$250/yd ³) | McKin Company Superfund Site, Gray, Maine <u>Media:</u> 11,500 yd ³ soil <u>Contaminants:</u> halogenated VOCs, PAHs; TCE as high as 1500 mg/kg; methylene chloride as high as 49 mg/kg; xylenes as high as 21 mg/kg <u>Details:</u> On-site treatment included ambient air monitoring; Thermal desorption system included rotary kiln desorber with off-gas filtration, baghouse, scrubber, and carbon adsorption | 9, (1995) |
| Thermal desorption | Total treatment cost (solids prep/handling, mobilization, start-up, system operation, demobilization - estimate) Before-treatment (mobilization, prep work, monitoring, sample testing, treatability study) | \$849,996 (1993) \$252,582 | TH Agriculture and Nutrition Company Superfund Site, Albany, Georgia <u>Media:</u> 4300 tons soil <u>Contaminants:</u> organochlorine (OCL) pesticides at >1000 mg/kg <u>Details:</u> Thermal desorption used to treat 4300 tons stockpiled soil with rotary kiln thermal desorber at 833 to 1080°F and 15 min. resident time; Off-gases routed through baghouse, a water-quenching unit, a reheater, and a vapor-phase carbon adsorption bed | 10, (1995) |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

3.b. THERMAL TREATMENTS: *Ex Situ*

THERMAL DESORPTION, continued

| | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|------------|
| Thermal desorption | <p>Total treatment cost (solids prep/handling, start-up, testing, permits, capital equipment, demobilization)</p> <p>Before-treatment (mobilization, site prep, monitoring, sampling, testing and analysis)</p> | <p>\$2,474,000 (1992)</p> <p>\$900,000</p> | <p>Outboard Marine Corporation Superfund Site, Waukegan, Illinois</p> <p><u>Media:</u> 12,755 tons soil and sediment</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> 2400 to 23,000 mg/kg PCBs</p> <p><u>Details:</u> SoilTech's Anaerobic Thermal Processor (ATP) used on-site; Rotary kiln desorber; Air emissions controlled using cyclones, baghouse, scrubbers, fractionator, condenser, gas/oil/H₂O separator, and carbon adsorption; Water treated on-site with sand filtration, Klenorb® filtration, UV oxidation, cartridge filtration, and carbon adsorption</p> | 11, (1995) |
| Thermal desorption | <p>Total treatment costs for 10,000 yd³</p> <p>Total treatment costs for 100,000 yd³</p> | <p>\$535/yd³</p> <p>\$352/yd³</p> | <p>US Army Corps of Engineers Dike No. 4, Confined Disposal Facility, Buffalo River, New York</p> <p><u>Media:</u> river sediment</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> PAHs, avg. 7.9 mg/kg in feed sediments</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Pilot scale; Thermal desorption with Remediation Technologies, Inc. system; Two hollow augers using molten eutectic material to heat sediments between 150 and 260°C; Volatilizing H₂O and organics, condensing volume of PAHs to oily residue; Reduced PAHs by 78%; Costs do not include dredging and disposal of treated solids/residues</p> | 12, (1995) |
| Low temperature thermal desorption | Total cost/unit | <p>\$410 to \$798/metric ton</p> <p>(\$373 to \$725/ton)</p> | <p>Tinker Air Force Base, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma</p> <p><u>Media:</u> 3000 yd³ soil</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> VOCs, SVOCs, BTEX</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Low temperature thermal treatment (LT³); 99.9% BTEX removed; Costs dependent on soil moisture</p> | 13, (1994) |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

3.b. THERMAL TREATMENTS: *EX SITU*

THERMAL DESORPTION, continued

| | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|------------|
| Thermal desorption and dehalogenation | <p>Total treatment cost (solids prep, startup, equipment, operation)</p> <p>Before-treatment (mobilization, prep work, monitoring)</p> <p>After-treatment</p> | <p>\$11.6 million (1991)</p> <p>\$908,000</p> <p>\$3.4 million</p> | <p>Wide Beach Development Superfund Site, Brant, New York</p> <p><u>Media:</u> 42,000 tons stockpiled soil</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> 10 to 5000 mg/kg PCBs from waste oil</p> <p><u>Details:</u> SoilTech's mobile Anaerobic Thermal Processor (ATP) system used in conjunction with alkaline polyethylene glycol (APEG) dechlorination; Retort zone temp. 1160°F with 30 to 40 min. residence time; Air emissions control with cyclones, baghouse, scrubber, fractionator, condenser, gas/oil/H₂O separator, and carbon adsorption; Water treated on-site</p> | 14, (1995) |
| Low temperature thermal desorption | Total cost | \$250,000 (1992) (\$80/yd ³) | <p>EPA Removal Action, Drexler-RAMCOR, Washington</p> <p><u>Media:</u> 3000 yd³ soil</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> petroleum hydrocarbons, polynuclear aromatics, BTEX; max. TPH 70,000 ppm; avg. TPH 15,000 to 20,000 ppm</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Soil initially excavated and screened; Rock washing and steam cleaning decontaminated larger soil matrix; Used for backfill on-site; Low temp. thermal desorption used to treat 3000 residual tons; Operated 16 hrs./day, 12 to 15 tons/hr. at 700°F; Wastewater treated on-site with carbon filtration</p> | 15, (1994) |
| Low temperature thermal desorption | <p>Total cost/unit</p> <p>Cost for treatment of off-gases</p> | <p>\$81 to \$176/metric ton (\$74 to \$160/ton)</p> <p>\$410 to \$798/metric ton (\$87 to \$184/ton)</p> | <p>Letterkenny Army Depot, Chambersburg, Pennsylvania</p> <p><u>Media:</u> soil</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> up to 20,000 ppm VOCs</p> <p><u>Details:</u> USACE's Holo-Flite Screw Thermal Processor; Removal of 99.95% VOCs;</p> | 16, (1994) |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

3.b. THERMAL TREATMENTS: *EX SITU*

THERMAL DESORPTION, continued

| | | | | |
|--|-----------------|---|---|------------|
| Low temperature thermal desorption | Total cost/unit | \$410 to \$798/metric ton (\$373 to \$725/ton) | Letterkenny Army Depot, Chambersburg, Pennsylvania <u>Media:</u> soil <u>Contaminants:</u> TCE, PCE, DCE, xylene; concentrations up to 27,000 ppm <u>Details:</u> Low Temperature Thermal Treatment (LT ³); ≤ 1.8 ppm attained; Costs dependent on soil moisture content | 17, (1994) |
| High temperature thermal desorption | Total cost/unit | \$182/metric ton (\$165/ton) | Alaskan Battery Enterprises Superfund Site, Fairbanks, Alaska <u>Media:</u> soils <u>Contaminants:</u> 2280 to 10,374 ppm Pb <u>Details:</u> Pilot scale ; System used gravity separation and particle size classification; Pb levels reduced to >2541 ppm | 18, (1994) |
| High temperature thermal desorption | Total cost/unit | \$151/metric ton (\$137/ton) | Escambia Wood Treating Company Superfund Site, Pensacola, Florida <u>Media:</u> soils <u>Contaminants:</u> 550 to 1700 ppm PAHs, 48 to 210 ppm PCPs <u>Details:</u> Pilot scale ; System used particle size classification and surfactant addition; Reduced to 45 ppm PAHs and 3 ppm PCPs; Costs projected from pilot scale results | 19, (1994) |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

3.b. THERMAL TREATMENTS: *EX SITU*

| INCINERATION | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|---|---|------------|
| Treatment Technology | Cost Elements | Cost | Site Characteristics/Comments | Reference |
| Incineration | Total cost/unit | \$180 to \$800/metric ton (\$164 to \$730/ton) | Peak Oil Site, Tampa, Florida <u>Media:</u> soil <u>Contaminants:</u> oil sludge (PCBs, lead) <u>Details:</u> Electric infrared mobile incineration unit | 20, (1994) |
| Incineration | Total cost/unit | \$180/metric ton (\$173/ton) | Savanna Army Depot, Savanna, Illinois <u>Media:</u> 75,000 tons soil <u>Contaminants:</u> 1000 ppm TNT <u>Details:</u> Full-scale transportable incineration system; Treated 75,900 tons soil; <1 ppm TNT in ash residue | 21, (1994) |
| Incineration | Total cost/unit | \$200/metric ton (\$180/ton) | Lauder Salvage Yard, Beardstown, Illinois <u>Media:</u> soil <u>Contaminants:</u> 12,000 ppm PCBs <u>Details:</u> Full-scale transportable incineration system; Disposed ash contained <1 ppm PCBs | 22, (1994) |
| Fluidized bed incineration | For 20,000 - 50,000 tons For 10,000 - 15,000 tons (Costs for incineration only, not including excavation) | \$150 to \$300/ton \$350 to \$400/ton | Formerly Operating Oil Field, Kenai Peninsula, Alaska <u>Media:</u> 80,000 tons soil <u>Contaminants:</u> PCBs <u>Details:</u> Clean-up level of 12 ppm (24 ppm for areas with difficult access and low risk); Excavation of soils from around still-functioning natural gas pipelines and structures; Site includes on-site lab, health and safety office, feed prep building, and power generation | 23, (1991) |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

3.b. THERMAL TREATMENTS: *EX SITU*

| INCINERATION, continued | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|------------|
| Incineration and off-site reclamation | Site prep Sludge liquidation Transportation Reclamation Total | \$300,000 \$1 million \$550,000 \$1.0 million \$3.1 million (\$1000/yd ³) | Broderick Wood Products Superfund Site, Denver, Colorado <u>Media:</u> >3200 yd ³ sludge <u>Contaminants:</u> creosote; sludge also contained PCP, PAHs, VOCs, chlorinated dioxins, furans <u>Details:</u> Water biologically treated and discharged as production wastewater; Recovered coal tar oil was used as normal feedstock; Residual solids were managed as K001 waste | 24, (1994) |
| Incineration and soil washing | Total treatment cost (ROD estimate) | \$10.3 million | Arkwood Inc. Superfund Site, Omaha, Arkansas <u>Media:</u> 21,000 yd ³ soil and sludge; 7000 yd ³ debris for incineration <u>Contaminants:</u> PCP and creosote contamination in surface water, soil, and debris; PAHs, dioxins <u>Details:</u> 15 acre site with contaminated wood-treatment facility, sink-holes, ditches, and wood storage; On-site incineration of soil washing residuals and debris; Incineration of free creosote; Decontaminated materials used as backfill; Capping and revegetation of site with long-term groundwater monitoring | 25, (1995) |
| Infrared thermal destruction | Total for thermal treatment of soils | \$1.4 million (\$1000/yd ³) | Twin Cities Army Ammunition Plant, New Brighton, Minnesota <u>Media:</u> 1400 yd ³ excavated soil in Source Area D <u>Contaminants:</u> PCBs at maximum of 210 ppm <u>Details:</u> Thermal treatment included excavating 1400 yd ³ soil, temporary securement, full-scale mobile incinerator; Organic vapor analyzer used during incineration for monitoring | 26, (1992) |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

3.b. THERMAL TREATMENTS: *EX SITU*

| INCINERATION, continued | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|--|------------|
| Thermal destruction | Total treatment cost | \$2.4 million | <p>Former Explosives Manufacturing Facility, New Jersey</p> <p><u>Media:</u> soil</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> nitrocellulose totaling 55,000 lbs. mixed with DNB, DNT, TNT</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Open burning of nitrocellulose in burn unit; 2 m layer of excavated nitrocellulose spread in burn unit, mixed with kerosene and 1 lb. powder ignition charge for detonation; Residual ash removed and disposed of at off-site landfill; Residual contained <6260 mg/kg nitrocellulose</p> | 27, (1994) |
| Incineration and pump and treat | Total treatment cost (ROD estimate) | \$47.5 million | <p>Texarkana Wood Preserving Company Superfund Site, Texas</p> <p><u>Media:</u> 77,000 yd³ soil, affected sediments, and sludges; 16 million gal. groundwater</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> creosote, dioxin, PAHs, pesticides, phenols including PCP</p> <p><u>Details:</u> 25 acre site; Excavation and incineration of soils, sediment, and sludges near processing ponds; On-site backfilling of ash with capping and revegetation; Pump and treat shallow groundwater with GAC; Reinjection of treated water on-site; Clean-up levels were 3 ppm carcinogenic PAHs, 2350 ppm total PAHs, 150 ppm PCP, and 20 ppb combined dioxins and furans</p> | 28, (1995) |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

3.b. THERMAL TREATMENTS: *EX SITU*

| INCINERATION, continued | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|------------|
| Incineration, in situ bioremediation, and pump and treat | <p>Bioremediation, excavation, and incineration: Capital costs O&M Present worth</p> <p>Pump and treat: Capital costs O&M Present worth</p> | <p>\$29 million \$500,000/yr. \$40 million (1993)</p> <p>\$2 million \$250,000/yr. \$6 million (1993)</p> | <p>American Creosote Works Inc. Superfund Site, Winnfield, Louisiana</p> <p><u>Media:</u> 25,000 yd³ sludge and 250,000 yd³ soil; shallow groundwater</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> PAHs, PCP</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Excavation and incineration of 25,000 yd³ highly contaminated sludge and tars; Decontaminated ash used on-site as fill; Pump and separate NAPLs from sub-surface zones of pooled product to promote biodegradation of PCP and PAHs; Incineration of NAPLs; Reinjection of water to promote flushing of contaminants into 250,000 yd³ in situ biotreatment zone; O₂ and nutrients added; 30 yr. remediation</p> | 29, (1993) |
| Rotary kiln incineration plus pump and treat groundwater | <p>Thermal destruction: Capital costs O&M Present worth</p> <p>Pump and treat: Capital costs O&M Present worth</p> | <p>\$42 million \$60,000/yr. \$43.1 million (1990)</p> <p>\$3.4 million \$1.0 million/yr. \$4.4 million (1990)</p> | <p>Operable Unit 1, Texarkana Wood Preserving Company Site, Texarkana, Texas</p> <p><u>Media:</u> 77,000 yd³ soil, sludge, and sediments; 16 mil. gal. shallow groundwater</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> PCP max. conc.: 1400 ppm in soil, 4.1 ppm in groundwater, 5100 ppm in sludge; benzo(a)pyrene max. conc.: 1396 ppm in soil, 0.137 ppm in groundwater, 3918 ppm in sludge; dioxin max. conc.: 76 ppm in ppb in soil, 10.6 ppb in groundwater, 302 ppb in sludge</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Soil treatment includes two rotary kiln incinerators operating 24 hrs./day at 4 yd³/hr.; DRE of 99.99%; Soils excavated and stored on-site prior to incineration; Ash used as backfill with topsoil and revegetation cover; Pump and treat of shallow groundwater; GAC to treat off-gas; Water reinjected to aquifer; Estimated 10 yr. groundwater treatment</p> | 30, (1990) |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

3.b. THERMAL TREATMENTS: *Ex Situ*

| VITRIFICATION | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------------|---|--|------------|
| Treatment Technology | Cost Elements | Cost | Site Characteristics/Comments | Reference |
| Ex situ vitrification | Total cost/unit | \$2000/metric ton (\$1816/ton) | DOE Site, Butte, Montana <u>Media:</u> soils <u>Contaminants:</u> 28,000 ppm zinc oxide; 1000 ppm hexachlorobenzene <u>Details:</u> Plasma arc centrifugal treatment unit; Remediated to TCLP levels for each contaminant | 31, (1994) |
| Ex situ vitrification | Total cost/unit | \$495 to \$605/metric ton (\$450 to \$550/ton) | Babcock & Wilcox, Alliance Research Center, Alliance, Ohio <u>Media:</u> soils <u>Contaminants:</u> 49.9 ppm Cd, 2.67 ppm Cr, 97.1 ppm Pb, anthracene, dimethylphthalate <u>Details:</u> Pilot scale ; Mixed heavy metal and organic waste fed into cyclone furnace; Contaminant levels reduced to TCLP of <0.12 ppm Cd, 0.22 ppm Cr, and <0.31 ppm Pb; >99.99% DRE for anthracene and dimethylphthalate | 32, (1994) |
| Ex situ vitrification | Total cost/unit | \$220 to \$1020/metric ton (\$200 to \$930/ton) | HRD Facility, Monaca, Pennsylvania <u>Media:</u> soils <u>Contaminants:</u> 54,000 ppm Pb, 410 ppm Cd, 5200 ppm As, 860 ppm Ba, 88 ppm Cr <u>Details:</u> Mixed heavy metal and organic waste fed into hot reducing atmosphere; Residue contained 0.474 ppm As, 0.175 ppm Ba, <0.05 ppm Cd, <0.06 ppm Cr, and <0.33 ppm Pb | 33, (1994) |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

3.b. THERMAL TREATMENTS: *Ex Situ*

VITRIFICATION, continued

| | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|--|------------|
| Plasma ARC vitrification | Total cost/unit | \$750 to \$1900/ton (1991) | EPA and DOE Demonstration, Component Development & Integration Facility, Montana <u>Media:</u> soils and sludge <u>Contaminants:</u> organics and metals <u>Details:</u> Field demonstration; Waste stream fed into sealed plasma centrifuge furnace and heated to 2800- 3000°F; Organics were evaporated leaving metals in vitrified mass | 34, (1994) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|--|------------|

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

3.b. THERMAL TREATMENTS: *EX SITU*

| OTHER THERMAL TREATMENTS | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|---|------------|
| Treatment Technology | Cost Elements | Cost | Site Characteristics/Comments | Reference |
| Pyrolysis | Total cost/unit | \$290/metric ton (\$265/ton) | Wide Beach Superfund Site, New York <u>Media:</u> soils <u>Contaminants:</u> 5000 ppm PCB <u>Details:</u> Aerobic thermal processor; Indirectly heated rotary kiln; Remediated to <2 ppm | 35, (1994) |
| Cyclone furnace | Total cost/unit | \$528/ton | EPA Demonstration, Babcock & Wilcox Site, Ohio <u>Media:</u> soil <u>Contaminants:</u> organics and metals <u>Details:</u> Field demonstration; Soils were excavated; Waste stream entered furnace and contacted a swirling air/fuel mixture operating at 820°F; Produced a volcanic glass product similar to ISV | 36, (1994) |
| Molten salt oxidation | Total cost/unit | \$500/ton | DOE Demonstration, Energy Technology Engineering Center, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee <u>Media:</u> Liquid wastes and solids <u>Contaminants:</u> radionuclides, organics, oils, graphite, chemical warfare agents, and explosives <u>Details:</u> Field demonstration; Waste stream passes through sparged bed of turbulent molten salt; Operated at 800 to 1000°C with 2 sec. residence time; Off-gas filtered before release | 37, (1994) |

A SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

3.c. THERMAL TREATMENT REFERENCES

1. Federal Remediation Technologies Roundtable (March 1995). *Abstracts of Remediation Case Studies* (EPA/542/R/92-001). Washington, DC: US Government Printing Office, pp. 92-93.
2. Federal Remediation Technologies Roundtable (October 1994). *Remediation Technologies Screening Matrix and Reference Guide, Second Edition* (EPA/542/B-94/013). Washington, DC: US Government Printing Office, p. 3-26.
3. Ibid., p. 4-34.
4. Ibid.
5. Ibid.
6. Ibid.
7. Cox RE (1995). Examining the economics of remediation by fluid injection with vacuum extraction. *Remediation* 5(2): 29-39.
8. *Remediation Technologies Screening Matrix and Reference Guide, Second Edition*, p. 3-27.
9. *Abstracts of Remediation Case Studies*, pp. 88-89.
10. Ibid., pp. 96-97.
11. Ibid., pp. 90-91.
12. Garbaciak S and Miller JA (1995). Field demonstration of sediment treatment technologies by the US EPA's Assessment and Remediation of Contaminated Sediments (ARCS) Program. In KR Demars, GN Richardson, RN Yong, RC Chaney (Eds.), *Dredging, Remediation, and Containment of Contaminated Sediments*. Pennsylvania: American Society for Testing and Materials, pp. 145-154.
13. *Remediation Technologies Screening Matrix and Reference Guide, Second Edition*, p. 4-100
14. *Abstracts of Remediation Case Studies*, pp. 98-99.
15. *Remediation Technologies Screening Matrix and Reference Guide, Second Edition*, p. 3-50.
16. Ibid., p. 4-100.
17. Ibid.
18. Ibid., p. 4-88.
19. Ibid.
20. Ibid., p. 4-96.

THERMAL TREATMENT REFERENCES, continued

21. *Remediation Technologies Screening Matrix and Reference Guide, Second Edition*, p. 4-96.
22. Ibid.
23. Diot HR and Young DT (1991). Fluidized bed PCB incineration in Alaska. *Remediation* 1(2): 199-209.
24. DeFeo B (1994). Removal and recovery of creosote sludge at a wood treatment plant. *Remediation* 4(4): 467-474.
25. US Congress Office of Technology Assessment (September 1995). *Cleaning Up Contaminated Wood-Treating Sites* (OTA-BP-ENV-164). Washington DC: Government Printing Office, p. 16.
26. Rissell P, McCleary MR, Terho DL, and Wenck NC (1992). Evaluating the effectiveness of interim remediation actions at Source Area D and G: Twin Cities Army Ammunition Plant, Minnesota. Presentation at the 16th Annual Army Environmental R & D Symposium, June 1992.
27. Glowacki ML and Thomas MA (1994). Explosives remediation and treatment. In *Proceedings of Superfund XIV Conference and Exhibition 1994*, pp. 698-702.
28. US Congress Office of Technology Assessment (September 1995), p. 2.
29. US Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) Region 6 (April 1993). Record of Decision: American Creosote Works, Inc. Site, Winnfield Louisiana. Report No. LAD000239814.
30. US EPA Region 6 (September 1990). Record of Decision: Texarkana Wood Preserving Company Operable Unit 1. Report No. TXD008056152.
31. *Remediation Technologies Screening Matrix and Reference Guide, Second Edition*, p. 4-111.
32. Ibid.
33. Ibid.
34. Ibid., p. 3-52.
35. Ibid., p. 4-108.
36. Ibid., p. 3-51.
37. Ibid., p. 3-52.

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

4. OTHER TREATMENTS

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

4.a. OTHER TREATMENTS

| EXCAVATION | | | | |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|-----------|
| Treatment Technology | Cost Elements | Cost | Site Characteristics/Comments | Reference |
| Excavation | Excavation | \$100/yd ³ | Santa Susan Field Laboratories, Rocketdyne Division, Rockwell International, San Fernando Valley, California <u>Media:</u> 11,400 yd ³ soil <u>Contaminants:</u> VOCs, alkali metals, SVOCs, pesticides, PCBs, chlorinated solvents <u>Details:</u> Mixed waste treatment by thermal X-TRAX process; Processed 75 yd ³ /day; 170 miles to hazardous waste disposal facility; Radioactive waste disposed of by burial in containers | 1, (1994) |
| | Chemical analysis | \$4000 | | |
| | Haz. waste disposal | \$200/ton | | |
| | Haz. waste treatment | \$140/ton | | |
| | Conventional waste disposal | \$65/ton | | |
| | Mixed waste treatment | \$2750/ton | | |
| | Mixed waste disposal | \$1014/ton | | |
| | Radioactive waste disposal | \$1600/ton | | |
| Excavation | 60 x 160 x 26 ft. enclosure | \$70,976 | McColl Superfund Site, Fullerton, California <u>Media:</u> 137 yd ³ waste (mud, tar, char); 101 yd ³ overburden <u>Contaminants:</u> black asphalt waste; benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylenes; 1000 ppm SO ₂ in air emissions; 492 ppm THC <u>Details:</u> Trial excavation for purpose of testing enclosure; Enclosure had exhaust treatment system, tar processing, and air monitoring; Operated for 18 days; NaOH wet scrubber and GAC to treat emissions | 2, (1992) |
| | Air exhaust control system | \$40,415 | | |
| | Foam vapor suppressants | \$89,591 | | |
| | Excavation (18 days) | \$82,512 | | |
| | Tar processing | \$17,367 | | |
| | Air monitoring | \$100,160 | | |
| | Total | \$401,021 (\$1685/yd ³) | | |

A. SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

4.a. OTHER TREATMENTS

EXCAVATION, continued

| | | | | |
|-------------------|---------------|---|--|-----------|
| Excavation | Capital cost | \$1.27 million | Loring Air Force Base Quarry Site, Operable Unit 7, Limestone, Maine | 3, (1994) |
| | O&M | \$576,000 total | | |
| | Present worth | \$1.85 million (\$45/yd ³) | <u>Media:</u> 28,000 yd ³ soil, sediments of quarry, 15,000 yd ³ contaminated debris | |
| | | | <u>Contaminants:</u> total PAHs range from 1.0 to 50 mg/kg; TPH max. conc. 16,000 ppm <u>Details:</u> Air Force Base closure in Sept. 1994; Quarry site is 7 acres, covered with construction waste material; Remediation included site prep, excavation of lower and upper tier soil and drainage ditch sediments; Use of excavated materials as low-grade fill on base; Wetlands restoration; Environmental monitoring and 5 yr. site review | |

A SOIL, SEDIMENT, AND SLUDGE

4.b. OTHER TREATMENT REFERENCES

1. Gaylord G and Klein A (1994). Hazardous and radioactive (mixed) waste disposal site cleanup project. *Proceedings of the International Topical Meeting on Nuclear and Hazardous Waste Management, Spectrum '94*. La Grange Park, Illinois: American Nuclear Society.
2. US Environmental Protection Agency Risk Reduction Engineering Laboratory (October 1992). *Demonstration of Trial Excavation at the McColl Superfund Site: Application Analysis Report* (EPA/540/AR-92/015). Cincinnati, Ohio: US EPA, pp. 43-47.
3. ABB Environmental Services Inc. (September 1994). EPA Superfund Record of Decision: Loring Air Force Base Quarry Site, Operable Unit 7, Limestone, Maine. Project No. 7626-09, Portland, Maine. Report No. EPA/ROD/R01-94/092.

B. GROUNDWATER AND SURFACE WATER

1. BIOLOGICAL TREATMENTS

B. GROUNDWATER AND SURFACE WATER

1.a. BIOLOGICAL TREATMENTS: *In Situ*

| IN SITU BIOREMEDIATION | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------|---|-----------|
| Treatment Technology | Cost Elements | Cost | Site Characteristics/Comments | Reference |
| In situ bioremediation | Treatment cost/unit (includes capital and pre-treatment; does not include site prep, excavation, waste handling, residual disposal, or permitting) | \$31.25/1000 gal. | West Wago, Louisiana <u>Media:</u> 4 million gallons sludge and process water <u>Contaminants:</u> 20,000 ppm oil and grease <u>Details:</u> One acre lagoon, 75% surface area covered with waxy sludge; Surface aerators installed in lagoon for aeration and mixing in situ; Lagoon inoculated with selected bacterial strains; Biodegradation took one year to reach 15 ppm for oil and grease | 1, (1994) |
| Nitrate-enhanced bioremediation | Total treatment cost | \$650,000 | Park City Pipeline Superfund Site, Park City, Kansas <u>Media:</u> groundwater <u>Contaminants:</u> petroleum, benzene <u>Details:</u> Full-scale operation since December 1992; Ammonium chloride and nitrate amendments to stimulate microbial activity; Benzene concentration in aquifer reduced to 5 ppb | 2, (1994) |
| Oxygen-enhanced bioremediation | Total treatment cost | \$274,000 (1990) | New York State Department of Conservation UST Site <u>Media:</u> soil, groundwater <u>Contaminants:</u> 10 ppm BTEX <u>Details:</u> 6 monitoring wells to track movement of plume; Infiltration gallery installed at former UST location with purge well taking up contaminated groundwater; In situ aquifer bioremediation with nutrient and H ₂ O ₂ amendments; Reduced concentrations to 1 ppb BTEX in groundwater and 50 ppb in surrounding soil | 3, (1994) |

B. GROUNDWATER AND SURFACE WATER

1.a. BIOLOGICAL TREATMENTS: *In Situ*

| IN SITU BIOREMEDIATION, continued | | | | |
|---|--|----------------------------------|---|-----------|
| Oxygen-enhanced bioremediation and soil flushing | Total treatment cost including capital and O&M | \$1,191,000 | <p>Union Pacific Railroad, Pocatello, Idaho</p> <p><u>Media:</u> upper aquifer groundwater, soil</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> NAPLs</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Groundwater extracted from 11 wells at 20 gpm each; Process equipment included recovery wells, treatment system, and infiltration galleries; Treated water enhanced with O₂ and nutrient amendments to stimulate in situ biodegradation during soil flushing; Treated 439 million L/yr. (116 million gal./yr.) for five years.</p> | 4, (1993) |
| Nitrate-enhanced bioremediation | Total cost/unit | \$160 to \$230/gal. fuel removed | <p>Air Force Demonstration, Eglin Air Force Base, Florida</p> <p><u>Media:</u> groundwater</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> jet fuel (toluene, xylene, ethylbenzene)</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Field demonstration; Anaerobic nitrate-enhanced in situ biodegradation</p> | 5, (1994) |
| In situ bioremediation | Total treatment cost | \$2 million (\$4/gal.) | <p>Seymour Recycling Superfund Site, Operable Unit 1, Indiana</p> <p><u>Media:</u> 500,000 gal. groundwater</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> vinyl chloride, TCE, DCE, benzene, chloroethane</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Full-scale remediation began in June 1991 with expected completion in 1996; In situ bioremediation for vinyl chloride, TCE, and DCE; Vacuum extraction and chemical treatment for other contaminants</p> | 6, (1991) |

B. GROUNDWATER AND SURFACE WATER

1.a. BIOLOGICAL TREATMENTS: *In Situ*

| IN SITU BIOREMEDIATION, continued | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|--|--|-----------|
| Nitrate-mediated bioremediation | Unit costs for remediation | <p>\$84/gal. JP-4</p> <p>\$200/m³ JP-4 contaminated groundwater</p> <p>\$17/m³ groundwater down to confining layer</p> | <p>UST Site, US Coast Guard Facility, Traverse City, Michigan</p> <p><u>Media:</u> 2,640,000 gal. groundwater</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> JP-4 jet fuel (primarily BTEX and free product)</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Field demonstration; 4 large USTs leaking JP-4; Anaerobic degradation of organics through nitrate reduction; Fuel-contaminated groundwater biodegradation initiated through infiltration gallery in 900 ft² area with series of interdiction wells equipped with free product recovery pumps and 9 cluster wells for monitoring; Nitrates and nutrients batch-mixed and introduced via chemical feed pumps; Recirculating water between gallery/contaminated zone and purge wells created an in situ bioreactor; Cost evaluation pro-rates construction costs over a 5 yr. period; Unit costs found by dividing cost for construction, labor, chemicals, and electricity by volume of JP-4 under infiltration gallery</p> | 7, (1994) |
| In situ bioremediation of water plus solid-phase bioremediation of soil | Total treatment cost | \$11 million | <p>Burlington Northern Railroad Superfund Site, Somers, Montana</p> <p><u>Media:</u> groundwater; 12,000 yd³ excavated soil; 70,000 yd³ soil in situ</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> PAHs, zinc, and phenol in soil; PAHs in groundwater</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Operational early 1993 with 5 to 10 yr. completion time; 12,000 yd³ excavated soil undergoing solid-phase bioremediation; Groundwater being treated with in situ bioremediation; Soil concentration target is 36 µg/kg PAHs and groundwater target is 0.030 µg/L PAHs; Soil also treated with in situ soil flushing</p> | 8, (1991) |

B. GROUNDWATER AND SURFACE WATER

1.b. BIOLOGICAL TREATMENTS: *Ex Situ*

SLURRY-PHASE BIODEGRADATION/BIOREACTORS

| Treatment Technology | Cost Elements | Cost | Site Characteristics/Comments | Reference |
|--|--|---------------------|--|------------|
| Fixed-film bioreactor, in situ aquifer treatment, and land treatment of soils | Total remediation costs including pilot and full-scale operations | \$8 to \$10 million | <p>Libby Groundwater Superfund Site, Libby, Montana</p> <p><u>Media:</u> screened soil and rock totaling 75,800 yd³; groundwater plume extending approx. 1 mile in length</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> >5000 mg/kg PCPs</p> <p><u>Details:</u> 4 existing monitoring wells used for in situ bioremediation; H₂O₂ injection system with new monitoring and extraction wells drilled; Groundwater recovery with 2 fixed-film bioreactors; 2 land treatment units for contaminated soil, each 1 acre in size with a capacity of 25,000 yd³; Operational period of 6 yrs. for soil and <10 yrs. for aquifer treatment to obtain target concentrations of >100 mg/kg; Costs are min. and max. estimates</p> | 9, (1994) |
| Slurry-phase bioreactor following soil washing | Treatment cost/unit (including water treatment, slurry biodegradation, and incineration) | \$168/ton (1989) | <p>Macgillis and Gibbs Site, New Brighton, Minnesota</p> <p><u>Media:</u> soils</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> PAHs, PCP, Cu, Cr, As</p> <p><u>Details:</u> SITE Program demonstration; Biotrol, Inc. soil washing process for volume reduction; Fixed-film bioreactor to treat process water ex situ; Slurry bioreactor to treat soil washing residuals; Incineration of woody debris; Soil washing removed 87 to 89% PCP and 83 to 88% PAHs; Bioreactor removed 91 to 94% PCP</p> | 10, (1993) |

B. GROUNDWATER AND SURFACE WATER

1.b. BIOLOGICAL TREATMENTS: *Ex Situ*

SLURRY-PHASE BIODEGRADATION/BIOREACTORS, continued

| | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|--|------------|
| Fixed film bioreactor | Total treatment cost (expected) | \$5 to \$6 million | <p>New Lyme Landfill Superfund Site, New Lyme, Ohio</p> <p><u>Media:</u> groundwater</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> ethylbenzene, methylene chloride</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Pilot scale study conducted on Jan. 1988; Full-scale remediation began Nov. 1991; Used rotating fixed film reactors; 100% of groundwater under bioremediation; Calcium carbonate precipitation caused plugging; Remediation levels are 68 µg/L ethylbenzene, 473 µg/L methylene chloride, and 9.2 µg/L phthalate; Costs are min. and max. estimates</p> | 11, (1991) |
| Sequencing batch bioreactor | Total treatment cost (expected) | \$15 million | <p>Reilly Tar and Chemical Corporation Superfund Site, Indiana</p> <p><u>Media:</u> groundwater</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> benzene, ammonia, pyridine</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Remediation began Dec. 1991; Groundwater sequencing batch reactor with continuous flow; 100% of groundwater under bioremediation; 60 to 80 ft. aquifer with conductivities of 10⁻²; 1.6 mgd extraction system</p> | 12, (1991) |
| Fixed film bioreactor | Start-up costs | \$100,000 | <p>Conservation Chemical Superfund Site, Kansas City, Missouri</p> <p><u>Media:</u> groundwater</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> phenols, VOCs, SVOCs</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Full-scale remediation started April 1990; Using aerobic attached growth process with 2 fixed film bioreactors in series; Treatment train also included carbon adsorption, lime precipitation, and sulfide precipitation; Bioreactor operating at 150 to 200 gpm for approx. 30 yrs.</p> | 13, (1991) |

B. GROUNDWATER AND SURFACE WATER

1.b. BIOLOGICAL TREATMENTS: *Ex Situ*

SLURRY-PHASE BIODEGRADATION/BIOREACTORS, continued

| | | | | |
|---|--------------------|-------------|---|------------|
| Bioreactor | Total cost/unit | \$0.50/gal. | DOE Demonstration, Savannah River Site, Aiken, South Carolina <u>Media:</u> groundwater <u>Contaminants:</u> TCE, PCE 1000 ppb <u>Details:</u> Field demonstration; Aquifers must be homogenous; Used methanotropic fluidized bed and trickle filter bioreactor; 90% TCE/PCE removal efficiency; Cu content in water may have inhibited biodegradation | 14, (1994) |
| Bioreactor plus in situ bioremediation of soil | Total project cost | \$91,700 | Naval Air Warfare Center, Lakehurst, New Jersey <u>Media:</u> soil below lagoon; groundwater in 180 ft. x 45 ft. contaminant plume <u>Contaminants:</u> ethylene glycol up to 4900 ppm in soil and up to 2100 ppm in groundwater <u>Details:</u> First phase used injection system for in situ biodegradation by adjusting pH, providing O ₂ and nitrogen/phosphate amendments; 5 recovery wells pumped contaminated groundwater into bioreactor with reinjection into vadose zone after treatment; Avg. flow rate in closed loop = 20 gpm; Lagoon injection system flushed contaminated soil and forced contaminated water to 1 of 3 recovery wells installed in lagoon; 435 day treatment lowered contaminants to non-detect levels | 15, (1994) |

B. GROUNDWATER AND SURFACE WATER

1.b. BIOLOGICAL TREATMENTS: *Ex Situ*

SLURRY-PHASE BIODEGRADATION/BIOREACTORS, continued

| | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|------------|
| Bioreactor treatment of water plus land treatment and soil flushing | <p>Land treatment: Capital O&M</p> <p>Soil flushing: Capital O&M</p> <p>Water treatment: Capital O&M</p> <p>Present worth</p> | <p>\$905,598 \$126,509/yr.</p> <p>\$5,483,950 \$58,070/yr.</p> <p>\$1,252,725 \$744,211/yr.</p> <p>\$9,074,062 (1992)</p> | <p>Idaho Pole Company Superfund Site, Bozeman, Montana</p> <p><u>Media:</u> 19,000 yd³ soil and sediment; 23,000 yd³ soil in situ; 210 mil. gal. groundwater</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> PCP up to 25 mg/kg; benzo(a)pyrene up to 1.7 mg/kg; dioxins/furans up to 34.2 µg/kg</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Former wood treating site; Excavated soil pretreated with oil/H₂O separator to remove creosote (to be recycled and/or disposed of off-site); Excavated soils treated in land treatment unit covering 4 acres with 1 ft. deep unit layers; When completed, land treatment unit will be closed by capping; Clean fill to replace excavated soil; Inaccessible soils treated by hot water/steam flushing and enhanced in situ bioremediation with O₂ and nutrient amendments; Groundwater extracted and treated in bioreactor; Reinjection of treated water to stimulate in situ bioremediation</p> | 16, (1992) |
| Fixed film bioreactor plus land treatment of soil | Total treatment cost (expected) | \$3.5 million | <p>JH Baxter Superfund Site, Weed, California</p> <p><u>Media:</u> groundwater; 21,875 yd³ soil</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> As, Cr, Zn, PCP, PAHs, dioxin/furans</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Excavated soil with organics (12,500 yd³) treated in prepared bed land treatment unit; Soil with mixed organic-heavy metal contamination needs further treatment; Groundwater pumped and treated in fixed film bioreactor; Start-up March 1993</p> | 17, (1991) |

B. GROUNDWATER AND SURFACE WATER

1.b. BIOLOGICAL TREATMENTS: *Ex Situ*

ACTIVATED SLUDGE

| | | | | |
|------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--|------------|
| Activated sludge | Total treatment cost | \$2.5 million/yr. | <p>Sylvester Superfund Site, Nashua, New Hampshire</p> <p><u>Media:</u> groundwater</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> phenols, MEK, acetone, toluene, benzene, vinyl chloride, chloroform</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Full-scale remediation started June 1986 and completed July 1994; Activated sludge biotreatment of groundwater with extended aeration; Vacuum extraction used in vadose zone; Air stripping operated at 3000 gpm and activated sludge operated at 50 gpm; Remediated to New Hampshire safe drinking water standards; 8 yr. total time</p> | 18, (1991) |
|------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--|------------|

B. GROUNDWATER AND SURFACE WATER

1.c. BIOLOGICAL TREATMENT REFERENCES

1. Rittmann BE, Seagran E, Wrenn BA, Valocchi AJ, Ray C, and Raskin L (1994). *In-Situ Bioremediation, Second Edition*. New Jersey: Noyes Publications, Appendix C, pp. 240-241.
2. Federal Remediation Technologies Roundtable (October 1994). *Remediation Technologies Screening Matrix and Reference Guide, Second Edition* (EPA/542/B-94/013). Washington, DC: US Government Printing Office, p. 4-127.
3. Rittmann BE, et al. (1994), Appendix C, pp. 237-238.
4. American Academy of Environmental Engineers, WASTECH® (1993). *Innovative Site Remediation Technology: Soil Washing/Soil Flushing*, WC Anderson (Ed.). Maryland: American Academy of Environmental Engineers, p. B.12.
5. *Remediation Technologies Screening Matrix and Reference Guide, Second Edition*, p. 3-62.
6. US Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response (December 1991). *Bioremediation in the Field*, No. 4. Report No. EPA/540/2-91/027.
7. Downs WC, Hutchins SR, Wilson JT, Douglass RH, and Hendrix DJ (1994). Nitrate-mediated biodegradation of BTEX in JP-4 contaminated soil and groundwater: A field pilot scale demonstration project. In PE Flathman, DE Jerger, JH Exner (Eds.), *Bioremediation: Field Experience*. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press Inc., pp. 361-379.
8. US EPA Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response (December 1991).
9. Rittmann BE, et al. (1994), Appendix C, pp. 248-250.
- and -
Piotrowski MR, Doyle JR, Cosgriff D, and Parsons MC (1994). Bioremediation progress at the Libby, Montana Superfund Site. In *Applied Biotechnology for Site Remediation*. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press, Inc., pp. 240-255.
10. *Innovative Site Remediation Technology: Soil Washing/Soil Flushing*, p. B.4.
11. US EPA Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response (December 1991).
12. Ibid.
13. Ibid.
14. *Remediation Technologies Screening Matrix and Reference Guide, Second Edition*, p. 3-61.
15. Flathman PE and Bottomley LS (1994). Bioremediation of ethylene glycol-contaminated groundwater at the Naval Air Warfare Center in Lakehurst, New Jersey. In PE Flathman, DE Jerger, JH Exner (Eds.), *Bioremediation: Field Experience*. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press, pp. 491-503.

BIOLOGICAL TREATMENT REFERENCES, continued

16. US EPA Office of Emergency and Remedial Response (September 1992). Superfund Record of Decision: Idaho Pole Company Site, Montana. Report No. EPA/ROD/R08-92/058.
17. US EPA Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response (December 1991).
18. Ibid.

B. GROUNDWATER AND SURFACE WATER

2. PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL TREATMENTS

B. GROUNDWATER AND SURFACE WATER

2.a. PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL TREATMENTS: *IN SITU*

GROUNDWATER SPARGING/STRIPPING

| Treatment Technology | Cost Elements | Cost | Site Characteristics/Comments | Reference |
|---|------------------------------------|------------------|---|-----------|
| Groundwater stripping with thermally-enhanced SVE | Capital | \$4.3 million | Lockheed Aeronautical Systems, Burbank, California | 1, (1994) |
| | O&M for 1000 gpm system | \$630,000/yr. | <p><u>Media:</u> groundwater, soil</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> 2.2 ppm TCE and 11 ppm PCE in groundwater; 6000 ppm VOCs in soil (soil gas)</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Integrated groundwater stripping and soil system; Running at 1000 gpm; Removal of 98 to 99.9% VOCs</p> | |
| Air Sparging and SVE | Initial pilot study | \$90,000 | UST Site, Big Rapids, Michigan | 2, (1995) |
| | Treatment system | \$165,000 | <u>Media:</u> 43,200 ft ² contaminated area | |
| | O&M (\$1500/mo. for 6 mos.) | \$9000 | <u>Contaminants:</u> VOCs (12% concentration); free product floating on surface water and in groundwater | |
| | Electricity (\$600/mo. for 6 mos.) | \$3600 | <u>Details:</u> Site contains 5 leaking USTs and gasoline lines; Air sparging provides in situ removal of dissolved VOCs from groundwater; Vacuum extraction used during air sparging to control and capture stripped contaminants in the sparge area; Automated Soil Vent Trailer (ASVT) with Shallow Tray® H ₂ O treatment system; 7 vapor extraction wells and 15 air sparging wells plus 640 ft. of trenching to connect VE and SP wells; GAC to treat off-gas | |
| | Total | \$267,000 (1995) | | |

B. GROUNDWATER AND SURFACE WATER

2.a. PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL TREATMENTS: *IN SITU*

GROUNDWATER SPARGING/STRIPPING, continued

| | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|-----------------|
| Density-driven groundwater sparging with SVE | <p>Capital costs:</p> <p>Drill/install wells \$16,000</p> <p>Install VE system \$40,300</p> <p>Sparging system \$25,750</p> <p>Electrical connections \$4050</p> <p>Trenching, backfilling \$26,800</p> <p>Air compressor \$26,800</p> <p>Start-up \$3000</p> <p>Project mang. \$10,000</p> <p>Total capital \$156,950</p> <p>Operating costs:</p> <p>Main. labor and parts \$30,000</p> <p>Monitoring/reporting \$30,000</p> <p>Elec. (\$.07/kWhr) \$2750</p> <p>Total annual operating \$62,750/yr. (1993)</p> | | <p>Amcor Precast, Ogden, Utah</p> <p><u>Media:</u> groundwater plume approximately 30,000 ft²; 7500 yd³ soil</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> 190 mg/L TPH, 4.7 mg/L benzene, 9.4 mg/L toluene, 8.0 mg/L xylenes, 0.63 mg/L naphthalene, 2.7 mg/L ethylbenzene max. in groundwater; 1600 ppm TPH, 2.5 ppm toluene, 19 ppm ethylbenzene, 110 ppm xylenes, 7.8 ppm benzene max. in soil</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Full-scale remediation of groundwater contaminated with diesel and gasoline fuels; 13 groundwater sparging wells at 18 ft.; 3 down-gradient extraction wells at 20 ft.; 3 vertical extraction wells; In situ density-driven groundwater sparging, groundwater recirculation, and SVE; 6 groundwater monitoring wells</p> | 3, (1994, 1995) |
| Dynamic underground stripping | <p>Total treatment cost (process monitoring, subsurface wells, steam generation, electric heating, above-ground treatment, utilities, labor, materials) \$5.4 million</p> <p>Before treatment (project mang., site characterization) \$1.7 million</p> <p>Total cost (including R&D) \$10,440,000 (1993)</p> | | <p>Gasoline Spill Site, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California</p> <p><u>Media:</u> 100,000 yd³ soil; groundwater in 800 acre site</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> 17,000 gallons leaded gasoline; BTEX and fuel hydrocarbons</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Commercial scale field demonstration of dynamic underground stripping; Gasoline leaked from USTs; 6 steam injection/electric heating wells at 145 ft., 3 electric heating wells at 120 ft., and 1 vacuum extraction well at 155 ft.</p> | 4, (1995) |

B. GROUNDWATER AND SURFACE WATER

2.a. PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL TREATMENTS: *IN SITU*

GROUNDWATER SPARGING/STRIPPING, continued

| | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|-----------|
| Groundwater Sparging | Total capital cost (estimate) | \$70,000 to \$100,000 (1991) | Navy Demonstration, Seal Beach Navy Weapons Station, California <u>Media:</u> groundwater <u>Contaminants:</u> VOCs <u>Details:</u> Field demonstration ; Injection and extraction wells placed within and outside of plume; Waste air stream fed to internal combustion engine for destruction; Air permits may be required depending on site; Costs are min. and max. estimates | 5, (1994) |
| In-situ air stripping with horizontal wells | Equipment cost (design, engineering, well installation, air injection/extraction, piping, electrical) Site cost (set-up and level) Labor Consumables Horizontal well installation | \$253,525 \$5000 \$62,620/yr. \$157,761/yr. \$50 to \$200/ft. | DOE Demonstration, Savannah River Site, Aiken, South Carolina <u>Media:</u> aquifer and saturated zone under settling basin <u>Contaminants:</u> TCE, PCE, TCA; VOCs as high as 5000 ppm; TCE >48 ppm <u>Details:</u> Full-scale field demonstration ; 139 day demo removed 16,000 lbs. VOCs; 7 horizontal wells installed; 2 used in field demonstration with one in the saturated zone and one in the vadose zone; Air injection below aquifer with air extraction above; Extracted avg. of 110 lbs. VOCs/day and reduced TCE and PCE to <300 ppm; Projected costs based on full-scale demo and do not include treatment of off-gas | 6, (1995) |

B. GROUNDWATER AND SURFACE WATER

2.a. PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL TREATMENTS: *IN SITU*

| BARRIER TECHNOLOGIES | | | | |
|---------------------------|--|------------------|--|-----------|
| Treatment Technology | Cost Elements | Cost | Site Characteristics/Comments | Reference |
| Continuous permeable wall | Total capital | \$720,000 (1994) | <p>Former Semiconductor Manufacturing Facility, Sunnyvale, California</p> <p><u>Media:</u> groundwater</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> TCE, cis-DCE, vinyl chloride with influent concentrations of 210 ppb, 1.415 ppb, and 540 ppb, respectively</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Full-scale in situ treatment wall; Reactive zone 4 ft. wide, 40 ft. long, 20 ft. deep; Contains 220 tons 100% granular Fe; Flanked by slurry walls on either side, one 225 ft. long, one 250 ft. long to direct groundwater into permeable section; Reactive iron is significant component of installation costs; Other than groundwater monitoring, the major factor affecting O&M is possible periodic removal of precipitate from Fe</p> | 7, (1996) |
| Continuous permeable wall | Total capital (includes \$30,000 for Fe) | \$250,000 (1995) | <p>Industrial Facility, New York</p> <p><u>Media:</u> shallow aquifer groundwater</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> up to 300 ppb TCE, up to 500 ppb cis-DCE, and up to 80 ppb vinyl chloride</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Pilot scale; 12 ft. long, 3.5 ft. wide central reactive section flanked by 15 ft. sheet piling extended laterally; Installation keyed into clay layer at 15 ft. below surface; VOCs reduced to MCLs within 1.5 ft. after diffusion through wall; Velocity of flow through wall is 1 ft./day capturing a 24 ft. wide plume; Used 45 tons Fe</p> | 8, (1996) |

B. GROUNDWATER AND SURFACE WATER

2.a. PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL TREATMENTS: *IN SITU*

BARRIER TECHNOLOGIES, continued

| | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|---|------------|
| Funnel and gate | Installation costs | \$400,000 (1996) | <p>Industrial Facility, Kansas</p> <p><u>Media:</u> groundwater</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> 100 to 400 ppb TCE</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Pilot scale; 1000 ft. funnel and gate system; 490 ft. funnel on either side of a 20 ft. long gate; Low natural groundwater velocity increased with funneling; Reactive zone between 17 and 30 ft. below surface with flow-through thickness of 3 ft.; Funnel consisted of soil-bentonite slurry wall; 70 tons granular Fe at gate section</p> | 9, (1996) |
| Hanging wall reactive barrier | Installation cost | \$300,000 to \$350,000 | <p>Former Chrome Plating Facility, US Coast Guard Support Center, Elizabeth City, North Carolina</p> <p><u>Media:</u> groundwater</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> hexavalent chromium, Cr(VI)</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Field test; Hanging wall reactive barrier installed with hollow stem auger to mix sand into excavated aquifer zone soil; Mixture replaced and hanging wall installed with columns of Peerless Fe; Wall is 50 m long, 8 m deep, and 0.6 m thick; 12 monitoring wells from 12 to 24 ft.; 9 compliance wells; Full-scale start-up on June 22, 1996; O&M minimal with exception of multi-layer sampling</p> | 10, (1996) |

B. GROUNDWATER AND SURFACE WATER

2.b. PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL TREATMENTS: *Ex Situ*

| OXIDATION | | | | |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|---|------------|
| Treatment Technology | Cost Elements | Cost | Site Characteristics/Comments | Reference |
| POWVER™ evaporation and catalytic oxidation | Total cost/unit | \$110/1000 gal. | <p>EPA Demonstration; Lake Charles Treatment Center, Louisiana</p> <p><u>Media:</u> groundwater and waste water</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> VOCs, non-volatile organics, salts, metals</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Demonstration of 0.25 gpm pilot plant; Concentrated residual solution of contaminants required disposal or further treatment</p> | 11, (1994) |

B. GROUNDWATER AND SURFACE WATER

2.b. PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL TREATMENTS: *Ex Situ*

| FILTRATION/SEPARATION | | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|------------|
| Treatment Technology | Cost Elements | Cost | Site Characteristics/Comments | Reference |
| Filtration | Operation cost | \$500,000 to \$1,200,000/yr. | American Creosote Works, Pensacola, Florida <u>Media:</u> groundwater <u>Contaminants:</u> PAHs, smaller phenolics <u>Details:</u> Positive pressure membrane hyperfiltration unit; 95% removal of PAHs | 12, (1994) |
| Filtration | Operation cost | \$213,000 to \$549,000/yr. | Palmerton Zinc Superfund Site, Palmerton, Pennsylvania <u>Media:</u> groundwater <u>Contaminants:</u> zinc, TSS <u>Details:</u> Pressure membrane microfiltration; Shallow aquifer with dissolved heavy metals | 13, (1994) |
| Filtration | Capital cost Total cost/unit | \$150,000 \$0.40 to 0.\$53/1000 L (\$1.50 to \$2.00/1000 gal.) | DOE SITE Demonstration, Rocky Flats, Golden, Colorado <u>Media:</u> groundwater <u>Contaminants:</u> 40 to 100 mg uranium/L H ₂ O at filtration system intake <u>Details:</u> Commercial scale SITE demonstration; Colloid sorption filter for metals and non-tritium radionuclides; 58 to 95% removal of uranium | 14, (1994) |

B. GROUNDWATER AND SURFACE WATER

2.b. PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL TREATMENTS: *Ex Situ*

FILTRATION/SEPARATION, continued

| | | | | |
|----------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|---|------------|
| Filtration | Capital cost | \$150,000 | EPA and DOE Co-Demonstration, Rocky Flats Facility, Colorado | 15, (1994) |
| | O&M cost | \$1.50 to \$2.00/1000 gal. | <p><u>Media:</u> water</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> heavy metals and non-tritium radionuclides (NORM, LLRW, TRU)</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Field demonstration; "Polishing" filtration process for heavy metals, NORM, LLRW, and TRU; Used sorption, chemical complexing, and hydroxide precipitation; Created a concentrated waste sludge</p> | |
| Membrane separation | Total cost/unit | \$228 to \$1739/1000 gal. (1991) | <p>EPA Demonstration, American Creosote Works, Florida</p> <p><u>Media:</u> groundwater</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> PAH, creosote, smaller phenolics</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Field demonstration; Removed 90% PAHs and 80% creosote; Used hyperfiltration unit; Concentrated contaminants were directed to holding tank</p> | 16, (1994) |

B. GROUNDWATER AND SURFACE WATER

2.b. PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL TREATMENTS: *EX SITU*

| PUMP AND TREAT | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|--|------------|
| Treatment Technology | Cost Elements | Cost | Site Characteristics/Comments | Reference |
| Pump and treat with air stripping | Total cost/unit | \$0.20/1000 L (\$0.75/1000 gal.) | DOE Savannah River Site, Aiken, South Carolina <u>Media:</u> groundwater <u>Contaminants:</u> 15 ppm TCE, 6.7 ppm PCE <u>Details:</u> 500 gpm air stripper; 11 wells; Reduced levels in water to < 1 ppm TCE and PCE | 17, (1994) |
| Pump and treat with GAC | Capital cost (system design, construction, site work, equipment, mobilization/demobilization) Operating cost (carbon regeneration, maintenance, lab, project mang.) | \$958,780 \$129,400/yr. (1994) | Fort Drum Fuel Dispensing Area 1595, Watertown, New York <u>Media:</u> groundwater <u>Contaminants:</u> BTEX, free petroleum product <u>Details:</u> UST site with 10 dispensing units; Pump and treat with 2 recovery wells at 25 ft. and 5 to 6 gpm; Oil/H ₂ O separator, air stripper, GAC; Free product recovery pumps required frequent maintenance; GAC efficiency limited by Fe and biomass build-up | 18, (1995) |
| Pump and treat | Capital costs (demolition, excavation, system installation, start-up, mobilization, site prep) Operating costs (labor, materials, equipment) | \$569,739 \$216,561 (1993) \$143,047 (1994) | Langley Air Force Base, Aviation R & D Facility, Virginia <u>Media:</u> 2 million ft ² groundwater aquifer; 180,000 ft ² area of surrounding soil <u>Contaminants:</u> BTEX; TPH >100 ppm in soil, max. 4100 ppb in water; free product <u>Details:</u> UST site with 24 25,000 gal. tanks; Full-scale remediation of fuel-oil contaminated groundwater using vacuum assisted well-point extraction and aboveground air stripping; Pump and treat with vacuum-assisted well point extraction system, oil/H ₂ O separators, and air strippers; Extraction network average flow rate of 32 gpm; 2 air stripper columns | 19, (1995) |

B. GROUNDWATER AND SURFACE WATER

2.b. PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL TREATMENTS: *Ex Situ*

| PUMP AND TREAT, continued | | | | |
|--|--|------------------------|---|------------|
| Pump and treat with thermal oxidation and GAC | Incinerator, air stripper, scrubber, wells, GAC | \$1.7 million | Superfund Site Operable Units B/C, McClellan Air Force Base, Sacramento, California | 20, (1995) |
| | Heat exchanger, pumps, compressors, control center | \$1.0 million | <u>Media:</u> 660 mil. gal. groundwater | |
| | Total capital cost | \$4.0 million | <u>Contaminants:</u> VOCs, primarily TCE, DCE, PCE, and DCA; avg. 60 ppm | |
| | Operating cost (contractor, utilities, operations, sampling/analysis, project mang.) | \$1,240,000/yr. (1993) | <u>Details:</u> 7 extraction wells into main treatment plant; Air strippers (250 gpm) used thermal oxidation and caustic scrubbing; Two GAC units for liquid phase prior to discharge; 44,000 lbs. VOCs removed | |
| Pump and treat | Capital cost (construction of treatment plant, wells, force main, pump houses, start-up, engineering, project mang.) | \$8,034,454 | Twin Cities Army Ammunition Plant, New Brighton, Minnesota | 21, (1995) |
| | | | <u>Media:</u> groundwater | |
| | Operating cost (power, labor, tower packing, maintenance, lab) | \$588,599/yr. (1992) | <u>Contaminants:</u> VOCs including DCE, DCA, TCA, TCE, and PCE; TCE most prevalent at 10,000 ppb | |
| | Total life cycle costing | \$0.30/1000 gal. | <u>Details:</u> 14 source areas; Groundwater extraction by 12 boundary recovery wells and 5 source area recovery wells; Air stripper operating at 2900 gpm with four 36 ft. tall towers packed with propylene; Treated water discharged to sand/gravel pit; Boundary Groundwater Recovery System (BGRS) recovered an avg. of 23 lbs. VOCs/day; Estimated 92,700 lbs. VOCs have been recovered in 6 yrs. | |
| | Total O&M | \$0.12/1000 gal. | | |

B. GROUNDWATER AND SURFACE WATER

2.b. PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL TREATMENTS: *Ex Situ*

| PUMP AND TREAT, continued | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|------------|
| Pump and treat with oxidation | Capital cost (equipment, site prep, construction, start-up, engineering) Operating cost (maintenance, project management, lab analysis, supplies) | \$1,383,400 \$355,200/yr. (1994) | US DOE Kansas City Pant, Kansas City, Missouri <u>Media:</u> groundwater <u>Contaminants:</u> chlorinated VOCs, aromatic VOCs, PCBs, metals, TCE >10,000 µg/L; DNAPLs suspected <u>Details:</u> Pump and treat with Advanced Oxidation Process; 14 extraction wells; Extracted water initially treated by low-intensity UV/O ₃ /H ₂ O ₂ treatment; Later replaced with high intensity UV/H ₂ O ₂ system eliminating need for GAC and reducing O&M; 11.2 mil. gal. treated as of 1993 | 22, (1995) |
| Pump and treat | Capital cost (design, construction, installation, engineering, site development) Operating cost (electricity, maintenance, well sampling/analysis) Total O&M | \$4,103,000 \$149,200/yr. \$0.75/1000 gal. | US DOE Savannah River Site, Aiken, South Carolina <u>Media:</u> groundwater beneath settling basin <u>Contaminants:</u> 25,000 ppb TCE, 12,000 ppb PCE, VOCs as high as 500 ppm; DNAPLs present in groundwater <u>Details:</u> Area is 150 ft. deep, covering 1200 acres; 11 recovery wells at 200 ft.; 510 gpm production air stripper; Avg. air emission rate of 2 lbs./hr.; Supplemental site characterization to define DNAPL contamination may alter costs; Operating at 198 million gal./yr. | 23, (1995) |
| Pump and treat | Total treatment cost | \$600 million | Tokol Airbase, Budapest, Hungary <u>Media:</u> 3 million m ³ soil; 1 million m ³ groundwater <u>Contaminants:</u> TPH; 6000 m ³ free product in H ₂ O <u>Details:</u> Former Soviet military base; Aquifer at 4 to 5 m depth with plume affecting municipal well field; Free product separated from groundwater by depressing water table to speed flow to extraction wells; Pumping and on-site oil/H ₂ O separation; Recovered 224,000 L free product and 700,000 L jet fuel | 24, (1995) |

B. GROUNDWATER AND SURFACE WATER

2.b. PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL TREATMENTS: *Ex Situ*

| PUMP AND TREAT, continued | | | | |
|--|--|------------------------|---|------------|
| Pump and treat with fluidized bed reactor | Air stripping | \$3.19/1000 gal. | UST Site, Wurtsmith Air Force Base, Oscoda, Michigan | 25, (1993) |
| | Catalytic oxidation | \$1.70/1000 gal. | <u>Media:</u> groundwater; plume is 9,000,000 m ³ | |
| | Purchase price of oxidation unit | \$113,000 (1992) | <u>Contaminants:</u> TCE max. 10,000 µg/L; benzene | |
| | | | <u>Details:</u> Pumped groundwater fed through a 200 gpm packed bed air stripper; Catalytic oxidation used for emissions control with fluidized bed reactor; Operating temp. is 700°F with a 97% DRE for TCE | |
| Pump and treat with solids removal and air stripping | Capital costs: | | Hellertown Manufacturing Superfund Site, Northampton County, Pennsylvania | 26 (1991) |
| | Impermeable cover | \$285,000 | | |
| | Groundwater treatment | \$447,000 | | |
| | Design, supervision, admin., and 30% contingency | \$251,000 | <u>Media:</u> 49 mil. gal. groundwater | |
| | | | <u>Contaminants:</u> max. conc. in groundwater: 83 µg/L vinyl chloride, 1700 µg/L TCE, 260 µg/L 1,2-DCE, 22 µg/L PCE | |
| | Total capital | \$983,000 | | |
| | O&M | \$74,000 - 105,000/yr. | <u>Details:</u> Installation of 2 ft. thick impermeable clay cover over soil-filled former lagoon area; Capped with topsoil and reseeded; Routine monitoring and maintenance of cover; Installation of stormwater catchment system; Pump and treat extracted groundwater; Solids removed by settling tank; Filtration/ treatment with air stripping and GAC; Discharge to nearby creek; Long-term groundwater monitoring for 30 to 40 years with 23 monitoring wells; Total O&M depends on length of monitoring | |
| Present worth (5%, 35 years, ROD estimate) | \$2.25 million (\$0.05/gal.) | | | |

B. GROUNDWATER AND SURFACE WATER

2.b. PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL TREATMENTS: *Ex Situ*

| PUMP AND TREAT IN CONJUNCTION WITH OTHER REMEDY | | | | |
|--|---|----------------------|--|------------|
| Treatment Technology | Cost Elements | Cost | Site Characteristics/Comments | Reference |
| In situ air sparging plus pump and treat with GAC | Groundwater treatment (well construction, pumps, installation, engineering) | \$297,000 | Amoco Petroleum Pipeline, Constantine, Michigan | 27, (1995) |
| | Air sparging system (3 mos. initial operation and testing) | \$375,000 | <u>Media:</u> 775 mil. gal. groundwater | |
| | Operating cost (pump and treat only) | \$475,600/yr. (1994) | <u>Contaminants:</u> gasoline, fuel oil, kerosene, free product (approx. 350,000 to 2,000,000 gal.) <u>Details:</u> Pump and treat with 4 extraction wells at 28 ft.; GAC used to recover free product; In situ air sparging to treat saturated zone with 30 2-inch diameter wells from 25 to 30 ft. | |
| Incineration plus pump and treat with GAC | Total treatment cost (ROD estimate) | \$47.5 million | Texarkana Wood Preserving Company Superfund Site, Bowie County, Texas <u>Media:</u> 77,000 yd ³ soil, affected sediments, and sludges; 16 mil. gal. contaminated groundwater <u>Contaminants:</u> creosote, dioxin, PAHs, pesticides, phenols including PCP <u>Details:</u> 25 acre site; Excavation and incineration of soils, sediment, and sludges near processing ponds; On-site backfilling of ash, capping, and revegetation; Pump and treat shallow groundwater with GAC; Reinjection of treated water on-site; Clean-up levels are 3 ppm carcinogenic PAHs, 2350 ppm total PAHs, 150 ppm PCP, and 20 ppb combined dioxins and furans | 28, (1995) |

B. GROUNDWATER AND SURFACE WATER

2.b. PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL TREATMENTS: *Ex Situ*

PUMP AND TREAT IN CONJUNCTION WITH OTHER REMEDY, continued

| | | | | |
|--|---|--------------------|--|------------|
| Rotary kiln incineration plus pump and treat with GAC | IT Corp. contract (source control, air quality mang., site prep, dredging, excavation, bank stabilization, mobilization/demob., trial burn, incineration, and site closure) | \$110 million | <p>Bayou Bonfouca Superfund Site, Slidell, Louisiana</p> <p><u>Media:</u> groundwater; 150,000 yd³ (114,685 m³) total sediment; 157,000 tons soils and solids</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> creosote; PAHs 15,680 mg/kg at surface; ≤ 2488 mg/kg contamination in shallow aquifer soils</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Pump and treat groundwater from 3 plumes; Clean effluent directed back to bayou; Oil/H₂O separation, filtration, carbon bed adsorption, aeration; Excavation of surface soils, waste piles; Dredge/dewater bayou sediment; Incineration with ash deposited in on-site landfill; Costs do not include long-term pump and treat</p> | 29, (1994) |
| SVE plus pump and treat with GAC | Total capital cost (contract amount) | \$1,343,000 (1993) | <p>Commencement Bay, South Tacoma Channel Well 12A Superfund Site, Phase 2, Tacoma, Washington</p> <p><u>Media:</u> 281,700,000 gal. groundwater</p> <p><u>Contaminants:</u> chlorinated hydrocarbons, DCE, PCA (209,115 lb. free phase), PCE (3734 lb. free phase), TCE (126,112 lb. free phase); PCA in groundwater >10,000 µg/L</p> <p><u>Details:</u> Groundwater contamination in city production well; SVE used to remove VOCs from soil matrix; Upper aquifer (at 50 ft.) affected; Treated water discharged to storm drain system; 281,700,000 gallons treated as of 2/94; GAC used to treat off-gas; No information provided on operating costs, cost sensitivities, or breakdown of capital costs</p> | 30, (1995) |

B. GROUNDWATER AND SURFACE WATER

2.b. PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL TREATMENTS: *Ex Situ*

PUMP AND TREAT IN CONJUNCTION WITH OTHER REMEDY, continued

| | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------------|--|------------|
| Pump and treat groundwater with GAC plus bioreactor treatment of soil | Groundwater clean-up | \$236,000 | Jasco Chemical Superfund Site, Mountain View, California | 31 (1992) |
| | Soil clean-up | \$365,000 to \$448,000 | <u>Media:</u> groundwater, 1100 yd ³ soil | |
| | Present worth (included \$32,800 annual O&M for 5-10 yrs., ROD estimate) | \$601,000 to \$684,000 (1992) | <u>Contaminants:</u> 2.2 ppm 1,1-DCA, 2.6 ppm 1,2-DCA, 170 ppm 1,1-DCE, 142 ppm methylene chloride, 16 ppb vinyl chloride in groundwater; 3400 ppm methylene chloride, 490 ppm trichloroethylene, 1700 ppm toluene, 270 ppm acetone in soil <u>Details:</u> Groundwater Treatment: On-site construction of liquid-phase carbon adsorption unit; 12 wells from 22 to 35 ft., 3 from 42 to 57.5 ft.; Plume area is 400 ft.; Treated water discharged to municipal sewer system; Continued pump and treat for 10 years; Quarterly monitoring; Soil Treatment: 1100 yd ³ excavated soil treated in bioreactor with nutrient amendments; Aerobic system with airdraw to pull off VOCs; GAC to treat air stream; Off-site disposal of soils with residual contamination; Costs are estimated min. and max. | |
| Pump and treat with GAC plus in situ SVE | Capital cost | \$1,951,500 | Garden State Cleaners, Buena Borough, New Jersey | 32, (1991) |
| | O&M | \$249,000/yr. | <u>Media:</u> approx. 1600 yd ³ soil; 1.6 bil. gal. groundwater | |
| | Present worth (ROD estimate) | \$5,451,000 (1991) | <u>Contaminants:</u> 6.1 ppm TCE, 1300 ppm PCE, 8.1 ppm acetone, 0.5 ppm methylene chloride max. in soil; 13 ppm TCE and 1.9 ppm PCE max. in groundwater <u>Details:</u> SVE system operating for 6 to 9 mos.; Contaminated air/water flows to air/H ₂ O separator; Contaminated water pumped into treatment system where air stream was treated with GAC; Estimated 70 yr. treatment for entire plume; 13 deep and 7 medium extraction wells operating at 1000 gpm; 10 injection wells for treated water | |

B. GROUNDWATER AND SURFACE WATER

2.b. PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL TREATMENTS: *Ex Situ*

PUMP AND TREAT IN CONJUNCTION WITH OTHER REMEDY, *continued*

| | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|------------|
| In situ bioremediation, incineration, and pump and treat with incineration | Bioremediation, excavation, and incineration: Capital costs O&M Present worth Pump and treat: Capital costs O&M Present worth | \$29 million \$500,000/yr. \$40 million (1993) \$2 million \$250,000/yr. \$6 million (1993) | American Creosote Works Inc. Superfund Site, Winnfield, Louisiana <u>Media:</u> 25,000 yd ³ sludge, 250,000 yd ³ soil; shallow groundwater <u>Contaminants:</u> PAHs, PCP <u>Details:</u> Excavation and incineration of 25,000 yd ³ highly contaminated sludge and tars; Decontaminated ash used on-site as fill; Pump and separate NAPLs from sub-surface zones of pooled product to promote biodegradation of PCP and PAHs; Incineration of NAPLs and reinjection of water to promote flushing of contaminants into 250,000 yd ³ in situ biotreatment zone; O ₂ and nutrients added; 30 yr. remediation | 33, (1993) |
| In situ bioremediation and pump and treat with GAC | Capital: Soil treatment Groundwater treatment Site overhead O&M Replacement Total Present Worth (ROD estimate) | \$1,475,000 \$971,000 \$1,764,000 \$0 \$0 \$4,210,000 | North Cavalcade Street Site, North Cavalcade, Texas <u>Media:</u> 22,300 yd ³ soil; 5.6 mil. gal. groundwater <u>Contaminants:</u> 79 µg/L benzene, 620 µg/L toluene, 280 µg/L xylenes, 39,000 µg/L naphthalene max. in groundwater; 14,394 ppm total PAHs and 9187 ppm naphthalene max. in soil <u>Details:</u> 21 acre former wood-preserving operation; Clean-up levels are 1 ppm for carcinogenic PAHs in soil and 5 µg/L in groundwater; In situ bioremediation of soils with O ₂ and nutrients, 3 yr. duration; On-site pump and treat of contaminated groundwater with oil/H ₂ O separation and carbon filtration to be completed in 2 yrs.; Selected remedy has no long-term O&M beyond 5 yrs.; Since replacement costs are those for replacing elements needed in long-term operation, and selected remedy has no long-term operation, there are no associated replacement costs | 34, (1988) |

B. GROUNDWATER AND SURFACE WATER

2.b. PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL TREATMENTS: *Ex Situ*

| PUMP AND TREAT IN CONJUNCTION WITH OTHER REMEDY, continued | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|------------|
| Land treatment of soil plus pump and treat with incineration | Biological treatment: Capital costs O&M Present worth | \$11.5 million \$25,000/yr. \$11.9 million (1992) | Popile Inc. Site, El Dorado, Arkansas <u>Media:</u> 165,000 yd ³ soil and sludge; 84 mil. gal. groundwater; 750,000 pooled creosote | 35, (1993) |
| | Pump and treat: Capital costs O&M Present worth | \$1.2 million \$153,000/yr. \$5.3 million (1992) | <u>Contaminants:</u> ≤ 32,700 ppb benzo(a)pyrene and ≤ 280,000 ppb PCP in soil; ≤ 698 ppb benzo(a)pyrene equivalent and 460,000 ppb PCP in groundwater <u>Details:</u> Excavation/treatment of 165,000 yd ³ soil and sludge in land treatment unit (15-20 yr. treatment time); Extraction wells, interceptor trenches, and subsurface drains to capture pooled product and create hydraulic containment barrier; Partial slurry wall to prevent infiltration of surface water to groundwater; Removal of NAPLs with sedimentation and oil/H ₂ O separation; Filtration of H ₂ O in sand filter and activated carbon; Reinjection followed by deep in situ bioremediation for unrecoverable NAPLs with injection wells and feed system; In situ phase will cost an additional \$950,000 | |
| Rotary kiln incineration plus pump and treat groundwater | Thermal destruction: Capital costs O&M Present worth | \$42 million \$60,000/yr. \$43.1 million (1990) | Operable Unit 1, Texarkana Wood Preserving Company Site, Texarkana, Texas <u>Media:</u> 77,000 yd ³ soil, sludge, and sediments; 16 mil. gal. shallow groundwater | 36, (1990) |
| | Pump and treat: Capital costs O&M Present worth | \$3.4 million \$1.0 million/yr. \$4.4 million (1990) | <u>Contaminants:</u> PCP: 1400 ppm max. in soil, 4.1 ppm max. in H ₂ O, 5100 ppm in sludge; benzo(a)pyrene: 1396 ppm max. in soil, 0.137 ppm max. in H ₂ O, 3918 ppm max. in sludge; dioxin: 76 ppb max. in soil, 10.6 ppb max. in H ₂ O, 302 ppb max. in sludge <u>Details:</u> Soils excavated and stored on-site prior to incineration; Two rotary kiln incinerators operating 24 hrs./day at 4 yd ³ /hr.; DRE of 99.99%; Ash used as backfill with topsoil and revegetation cover; Pump and treat of shallow groundwater; GAC to treat off-gas; Treated water reinjected to aquifer; 10 yr. pump and treat | |

B. GROUNDWATER AND SURFACE WATER

2.b. PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL TREATMENTS: *Ex Situ*

PUMP AND TREAT IN CONJUNCTION WITH OTHER REMEDY, continued

| | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|--|------------|
| Land treatment of soil with incineration, and pump and treat with GAC | Present worth (7% discount rate, 30 yr. duration, ROD estimate) | \$27,530,000 to \$55,200,000 (1993) | Montana Pole and Treating Plant Site, Butte, Montana | 37, (1993) |
| | Total Capital | \$12,050,000 to \$20,250,000 | <u>Media:</u> 218,000 yd ³ excavated soil; 44,000 yd ³ soil in situ; 26,500 gal. sludge; 9100 yd ³ debris; 90 mil. gal. groundwater | |
| | O&M | \$657,000 to 4,420,000/yr. | <u>Contaminants:</u> max. conc.: 1160 mg/kg PCP; 2304 mg/kg PAHs; 55.6 mg/kg TPH; plus 370,000 gal. LNAPLs <u>Details:</u> Excavation of 208,000 yd ³ contaminated soil added to 10,000 yd ³ excavated soil stored on-site; Treatment in land treatment unit (7 yr. duration); In situ biodegradation of soils below excavation level before backfilling; Soil flushing and in situ bioremediation of inaccessible soils; Containment/hydraulic barrier installation; Pump and treat of groundwater with oil/H ₂ O separation, GAC, and UV oxidation; Estimated 30 yr. duration; Reinjection of treated H ₂ O to enhance in situ bioremediation; Decontamination and off-site disposal of debris; Excavation, transportation, incineration of sludge off-site; Long-term groundwater monitoring | |

B. GROUNDWATER AND SURFACE WATER

2.b. PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL TREATMENTS: *Ex Situ*

PUMP AND TREAT IN CONJUNCTION WITH OTHER REMEDY, continued

| | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|------------------|
| Land treatment of soil with bioventing, chemical fixation, and pump and treat with GAC | Total O&M | \$7,400,185 | Broderick Wood Products Superfund Site, Operable Unit 2, Adams County, Colorado | 38, (1992, 1995) |
| | Capital costs: Soil remedy Groundwater remedy Debris remedy Indirect and contingency costs Present worth (ROD estimate) | \$1,718,402 \$2,757,039 \$949,776 \$1,253,977 \$15,551,033 (1992) | <u>Media:</u> 59,000 yd ³ organic-contaminated soil; 120 yd ³ sediments; 800 yd ³ metal-contaminated soil; 526 mil. gal. groundwater; 42,000 yd ³ sludge; 850 yd ³ debris <u>Contaminants:</u> up to 14,000 ppm PAHs, 8600 ppm PCPs, 0.38 ppm benzene, 21.4 ppm xylenes, and 56 ppm dioxins/furans in soil <u>Details:</u> 59,000 yd ³ soil and 120 yd ³ sediments excavated and biodegraded in land treatment unit; 800 yd ³ metal-contaminated soil treated by ex situ chemical fixation and disposed of in off-site permitted facility; Groundwater pumped and treated with oil/H ₂ O separation, clay, and GAC; Treated H ₂ O reinjected into shallow aquifer followed by bioventing of deep contaminants; 25 to 30 groundwater monitoring wells installed; Soil/bentonite wall plus drainage ditch linings installed; 225 tons scrap decontaminated and reclaimed off-site; Sludges reclaimed off-site; 850 yd ³ debris disposed of in permitted landfill | |

B. GROUNDWATER AND SURFACE WATER

2.c. PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL TREATMENT REFERENCES

1. Federal Remediation Technologies Roundtable (October 1994). *Remediation Technologies Screening Matrix and Reference Guide, Second Edition* (EPA/542/B-94/013). Washington, DC: US Government Printing Office, p. 4-34.
2. Patterson J (1995). Case study: On-site remediation of soil and groundwater for a Michigan town's water supply. *Remediation* 5(4): 73-82.
3. Federal Remediation Technologies Roundtable (March 1995). *Abstracts of Remediation Case Studies* (EPA/542/R/92-001). Washington, DC: US Government Printing Office, pp. 38-39.
- and -
and US Army Corps of Engineers (US ACE) Omaha District (July 1994). *Technology Application Analysis: Density-Driven Groundwater Sparging at Amcor Precast, Ogden, Utah*.
4. *Abstracts of Remediation Case Studies*, pp. 46-47.
5. *Remediation Technologies Screening Matrix and Reference Guide, Second Edition*, p. 3-65.
6. *Abstracts of Remediation Case Studies*, pp. 58-59.
7. Focht R, Vogan J, and O'Hannesin S (1996). Field application of reactive iron walls for in situ degradation of volatile compounds in groundwater. *Remediation* 6(3): 81-94.
8. Ibid.
9. Ibid.
10. Puls RW, Paul CJ, and Powell RM (1996). Remediation of chromate-contaminated ground water using zero-valent iron: Field test at USCG Support Center, Elizabeth City, North Carolina. In *Proceedings of the HSRC/WERC Joint Conference on the Environment*, Albuquerque, New Mexico, May 21-23, 1996. (In press.)
11. *Remediation Technologies Screening Matrix and Reference Guide, Second Edition*, p. 3-72.
12. Ibid., p. 4-183.
13. Ibid.
14. Ibid.
15. Ibid., p. 3-74.
16. Ibid.
17. Ibid., p. 4-180.
18. *Abstracts of Remediation Case Studies*, pp. 42-43.

PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL TREATMENT REFERENCES, continued

19. *Abstracts of Remediation Case Studies*, pp. 44-45.
20. *Ibid.*, pp. 48-49.
21. *Ibid.*, pp. 52-53.
22. *Ibid.*, pp. 54-55.
23. *Ibid.*, pp. 56-57.
24. Committee on Challenges of Modern Society, NATO (May, 1995). Evaluation of Demonstrated and Emerging Technologies for the Treatment and Clean-up of Contaminated Land and Groundwater (Phase II), No. 203, p. 14. Report No. EPA/542/R-95/006.
25. Marchand, E (February 1993). Catalytic oxidation emissions control for remediation efforts. In NATO/CCMS *Demonstration of Remedial Action Technologies for Contaminated Land and Groundwater*, Final Report, Vol. 2 Part 2, No. 190. Report No. EPA/600/R-93/012C.
26. US Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) Office of Emergency and Remedial Response (September 1991). Superfund Record of Decision: Hellertown Manufacturing, Pennsylvania. First Remedial Action. Report No. EPA/ROD/R03-91/123.
27. *Abstracts of Remediation Case Studies*, pp. 40-41.
28. US Congress Office of Technology Assessment (September 1995). *Cleaning Up Contaminated Wood-Treating Sites* (OTA-BP-ENV-164). Washington DC: Government Printing Office, p. 2.
29. Acharya P (October 1994). Incineration at Bayou Bonfouca Remediation Project. *J. Air Waste Management Assoc.* (44): 1195-1203.
30. *Abstracts of Remediation Case Studies*, addendum.
31. US EPA Office of Emergency and Remedial Response (September 1992). Superfund Record of Decision: Jasco Chemical, California. First Remedial Action. Report No. EPA/ROD/R09-92/085.
32. US EPA Office of Emergency and Remedial Response (September 1991). Superfund Record of Decision: Garden State Cleaners, New Jersey. Report No. EPA/ROD/R02-91/148.
33. US EPA Region 6 (April 1993). Record of Decision: American Creosote Works, Inc. Site, Winnfield, Louisiana. Report No. LAD000239814.
34. US EPA Region 6 (June 1988). Summary of Remedial Alternative Selection: North Cavalcade Street Site. Report No. TXD980873343.
35. US EPA Region 6 (February 1993). Popile, Inc. Site Record of Decision. Report No. ARD008052508.

PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL TREATMENT REFERENCES, continued

36. US EPA Region 6 (September 1990). Record of Decision: Texarkana Wood Preserving Company Operable Unit 1. Report No. TXD008056152.
37. US EPA Office of Emergency and Remedial Response (September 1993). Superfund Record of Decision: Montana Pole and Treating, Montana. First Remedial Action. Report No. EPA/ROD/R08-93/075.
38. US EPA Office of Emergency and Remedial Response (March 1992). Superfund Record of Decision: Broderick Wood Products, Colorado. Second Remedial Action. Report No. EPA/ROD/R08-92/057.
- and -
US EPA (February 1995), "Explanation of Significant Differences for the Groundwater Remedy: Broderick Wood Products Superfund Site."